1			
2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
3	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
4	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
5	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) CR-18-00258-EJD		
6	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) CR-18-00258-EJD) PLAINTIFF,)		
7) SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA VS.		
8) JANUARY 13, 2020 ELIZABETH A. HOLMES AND RAMESH)		
9	SUNNY BALWANI,) PAGES 1 - 46		
10	DEFENDANTS.) SEALED PAGES 41 - 44		
11			
12	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS		
13	BEFORE THE HONORABLE EDWARD J. DAVILA UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
14			
15	APPEARANCES:		
16	FOR THE PLAINTIFF: UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE BY: JOHN C. BOSTIC		
17	JEFFREY B. SCHENK 150 ALMADEN BOULEVARD, SUITE 900		
18	SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA 95113		
19	BY: ROBERT S. LEACH VANESSA BAEHR-JONES		
20	1301 CLAY STREET, SUITE 340S OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA 94612		
21	(APPEARANCES CONTINUED ON THE NEXT PAGE.)		
22			
23	OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER: IRENE L. RODRIGUEZ, CSR, RMR, CRR		
24	CERTIFICATE NUMBER 8074		
25	PROCEEDINGS RECORDED BY MECHANICAL STENOGRAPHY TRANSCRIPT PRODUCED WITH COMPUTER		

1		
2	APPEARANCES:	(CONT 'D)
3	FOR DEFENDANT HOLMES:	WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY LLP
4		BY: KEVIN M. DOWNEY LANCE A. WADE
5		KATHERINE A. TREFZ 725 TWELFTH STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005
6		LAW OFFICE OF JOHN D. CLINE
7		BY: JOHN D. CLINE ONE EMBARCADERO CENTER, SUITE 500
8		SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94111
9	FOR DEFENDANT BALWANI:	ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP BY: WALTER F. BROWN, JR.
10		RANDALL LUSKEY THE ORRICK BUILDING
11		405 HOWARD STREET SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94105
12		BY: STEPHEN A. CAZARES
13		SUITE 3200 777 SOUTH FIGUEROA STREET
14		LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90017
15	FOR THE FDA:	UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
16		BY: MARCI NORTON JACKIE MARTINEZ-RESLY
17		DOUG WEINFIELD
18	TELEPHONICALLY:	BY: STACY AMIN PERHAM GORGI
19		I DIGITAL GOTOI
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

	1	SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA	JANUARY 13, 2020
10:05AM	2	PROC	EEDINGS
10:05AM	3	(COURT CONVENED AT 10:0	5 A.M.)
10:05AM	4	THE COURT: LET'S	CALL 18-258, UNITED STATES VERSUS
10:06AM	5	HOLMES, BALWANI.	
10:06AM	6	IF I COULD CAPTURE THE	APPEARANCES OF THE PARTIES, PLEASE.
10:06AM	7	MR. LEACH: THANK	YOU, YOUR HONOR. GOOD MORNING.
10:06AM	8	ROBERT LEACH FOR THE UN	NITED STATES. TO MY LEFT IS
10:06AM	9	JEFF SCHENK, JOHN BOSTIC, AN	ID I WANTED TO ESPECIALLY INTRODUCE
10:06AM	10	VANESSA BAEHR-JONES WITH MY	OFFICE. SHE FILED A NOTICE OF
10:06AM	11	APPEARANCE A MONTH OR TWO AG	O, BUT THIS IS HER FIRST LIVE
10:06AM	12	APPEARANCE BEFORE YOU.	
10:06AM	13	THE COURT: THANK	YOU. GOOD MORNING.
10:06AM	14	MS. BAEHR-JONES:	GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR.
10:06AM	15	MR. WADE: GOOD M	ORNING, YOUR HONOR. LANCE WADE
10:06AM	16	FROM WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY FOR	MS. HOLMES. WITH ME FROM MY FIRM
10:06AM	17	ARE MY COLLEAGUES, KEVIN DOW	NEY AND KATHERINE TREFZ.
10:06AM	18	THE COURT: THANK	YOU.
10:06AM	19	MR. WADE: AS WELL	L AS COCOUNSEL, JOHN CLINE. AND
10:06AM	20	MS. HOLMES IS PRESENT.	
10:06AM	21	THE COURT: THANK	YOU. GOOD MORNING.
10:06AM	22	MR. CAZARES: GOO	D MORNING, YOUR HONOR.
10:06AM	23	STEPHEN CAZARES AND WALT BRO	WN FOR MR. BALWANI WHO IS NOT
10:06AM	24	PRESENT.	
10:06AM	25	THE COURT: AND R	EMIND ME AGAIN ABOUT HIS ABSENCE.
		I and the second se	

10:06AM	1	MR. WADE: MR. BALWANI IS, ALONG WITH MY COCOUNSEL,
10:06AM	2	MR. COOPERSMITH, ARE ATTENDING DEPOSITIONS IN THE PARALLEL
10:06AM	3	S.E.C. CASE IN WASHINGTON, D.C.
10:06AM	4	THE COURT: I SEE. OKAY. ALL RIGHT. I THINK THE
10:07AM	5	GOVERNMENT HAD NOTICE OF THIS. WEREN'T YOU AWARE OF THIS?
10:07AM	6	MR. SCHENK: WE FOUND OUT FRIDAY AFTERNOON, YOUR
10:07AM	7	HONOR, YES.
10:07AM	8	THE COURT: THANK YOU. THIS MATTER IS ON THIS
10:07AM	9	MORNING FOR A STATUS CONFERENCE, AND THERE HAS BEEN SOME
10:07AM	10	FILINGS BY THE GOVERNMENT REGARDING THE DISCOVERY.
10:07AM	11	AND I DID CAPTURE YOU AND I HAVE READ AND REVIEWED YOUR
10:07AM	12	JOINT STATEMENT. THANK YOU FOR THAT.
10:07AM	13	IS THERE ANYTHING THAT ANYONE WISHES TO ADD TO YOUR JOINT
10:07AM	14	STATEMENT, UPDATE ME ON ANYTHING THAT HAS CHANGED?
10:07AM	15	APPARENTLY NOT.
10:07AM	16	MR. LEACH: NOTHING THAT HAS CHANGED, YOUR HONOR.
10:07AM	17	THERE WERE SOME ARGUMENTS THAT I WOULD LIKE TO MAKE WITH
10:07AM	18	RESPECT TO THE MOTION, BUT I DON'T THINK WE HAVE ANY UPDATE ON
10:07AM	19	WHAT WAS INCLUDED IN THE JOINT STATUS.
10:07AM	20	THE COURT: OKAY. ALL RIGHT. SO AS I UNDERSTAND
10:07AM	21	IT EXCUSE ME. DO WE HAVE ANY APPEARANCES TELEPHONICALLY?
10:07AM	22	LET'S CAPTURE THOSE.
10:07AM	23	IS ANYONE PARTICIPATING TELEPHONICALLY? IF YOU COULD,
10:08AM	24	COULD YOU PLEASE STATE YOUR APPEARANCE.
10:08AM	25	MS. AMIN: YOUR HONOR, MY NAME IS STACY AMIN. I'M

10:08AM	1	THE CHIEF COUNSEL AT THE FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION.
10:08AM	2	THE COURT: THANK YOU. GOOD MORNING. THANK YOU FOR
10:08AM	3	JOINING US.
10:08AM	4	MR. GORGI: HELLO. THIS IS PERHAM GORGI. I'M
10:08AM	5	DEPUTY CHIEF COUNSEL FOR LITIGATION AT THE FDA OFFICE OF CHIEF
10:08AM	6	COUNSEL.
10:08AM	7	THE COURT: THANK YOU. THANK YOU FOR JOINING US.
10:08AM	8	IS THERE ANYONE ELSE PRESENT TODAY FROM THE FDA?
10:08AM	9	MR. LEACH: YES, YOUR HONOR. I INTENDED TO
10:08AM	10	INTRODUCE THEM DURING MY REMARKS, BUT WE ALSO HAVE THREE
10:08AM	11	ATTORNEYS FROM THE FDA IN WASHINGTON, D.C. HERE WITH US:
10:08AM	12	MARCI NORTON, SENIOR COUNSEL; JACKIE MARTINEZ-RESLY, ALSO WITH
10:08AM	13	THE OFFICE OF CHIEF COUNSEL; AND DOUG WEINFIELD, WHO IS AN
10:08AM	14	E-DISCOVERY LAWYER WITHIN THE FDA OFFICE OF CHIEF COUNSEL.
10:08AM	15	THEY'VE COME OUT HERE FROM WASHINGTON FOR THIS HEARING.
10:08AM	16	THE COURT: WELL, GREAT. THANK YOU. GREAT.
10:08AM	17	WELCOME TO CALIFORNIA. I KNOW YOU'LL ENJOY THE WEATHER HERE.
10:08AM	18	I THINK WE SPOKE TELEPHONICALLY AT OUR LAST HEARING,
10:08AM	19	MS. MARTINEZ-RESLY AND MS. NORTON, IF I AM NOT MISTAKEN?
10:09AM	20	MS. NORTON: CORRECT.
10:09AM	21	MS. MARTINEZ-RESLY: CORRECT.
10:09AM	22	THE COURT: THANK YOU. LET'S SEE, BEFORE WE MOVE
10:09AM	23	INTO THE MOTION, AND THIS IS THE GOVERNMENT'S MOTION TO EXTEND
10:09AM	24	TIME I BELIEVE IT IS.
10:09AM	25	AND THIS IS WELL, I HAD THE DOCKET LIST HERE.

MR. LEACH: YOUR HONOR, THE MOTION IS ECF 215. 1 10:09AM THE COURT: THANK YOU. LET ME -- I DO WANT TO ASK 2 10:09AM ABOUT WHAT THE STATUS OF THINGS ARE. 3 10:09AM 10:09AM 4 YOU INDICATED IN YOUR MOTION THAT THERE WAS TRAVEL BY MR. BOSTIC, I THINK, YOURSELF AND MR. SCHENK TO WASHINGTON, 10:09AM 10:09AM 6 D.C. AND BALTIMORE IN EFFORTS TO DISCUSS MATTERS. 10:09AM 7 WHY DON'T YOU COME FORWARD AND UPDATE ME AND UPDATE US ON THAT. 10:09AM 8 MR. LEACH: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. FIRST, LET ME 10:10AM 9 10:10AM 10 EXPLAIN A LITTLE BIT WHY YOU'RE HEARING FROM ME AND NOT 10:10AM 11 MR. BOSTIC WHO HAS BEEN LEADING THE CHARGE FOR THE GOVERNMENT 10:10AM 12 ON THIS PREVIOUSLY. 10:10AM 13 MR. BOSTIC WAS IN TRIAL AT THE TIME WHEN THE COURT ISSUED ITS NOVEMBER 5TH ORDER. HE WAS APPEARING BEFORE JUDGE KOH, SO 10:10AM 14 10:10AM 15 AT THE TIME THE COURT RULED THAT THE GOVERNMENT HAD KNOWLEDGE AND ACCESS TO THESE FDA DOCUMENTS. THE TASK FELL TO ME TO WORK 10:10AM 16 10:10AM 17 TO COMPLY WITH THAT ORDER AS EXPEDITIOUSLY AS POSSIBLE. 10:10AM 18 SO WE'RE ONE TEAM. WE HAVE THE SAME INFORMATION, BUT I AM 10:10AM 19 NOT JOHN BOSTIC, AND I JUST WANTED TO LET YOU KNOW WHY I'M 10:10AM 20 ADDRESSING THE COURT ON THESE ISSUES TODAY. 10:10AM 21 THE COURT: OKAY. WELL, THANK YOU. 10:10AM 22 MR. LEACH: WE ALSO HAVE ON THE PHONE, I JUST WANT 10:10AM 23 TO MAKE SURE THE COURT UNDERSTANDS, WE HAVE STACY AMIN, WHO IS 10:10AM 24 THE CHIEF COUNSEL FOR THE FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION, THE 10:10AM 25 SENIOR LAWYER FOR THE FDA, HER DEPUTY CHIEF COUNSEL,

1 10:10AM 10:11AM 2 3 10:11AM 10:11AM 4 10:11AM 10:11AM 6 10:11AM 7 10:11AM 8 10:11AM 9 10:11AM 10 10:11AM 11 10:11AM 12 10:11AM 13 10:11AM 14 10:11AM 15 10:11AM 16 10:11AM 17 10:11AM 18 10:11AM 19 10:12AM 20 10:12AM 21 10:12AM 22 10:12AM 23 10:12AM 24 10:12AM 25

PERHAM GORGI, AND THE THREE ATTORNEYS STANDING BEHIND ME WHO I HAVE INTRODUCED.

THEY'RE HERE TODAY TO UNDERSCORE THE SERIOUSNESS WITH WHICH THE FDA IS TAKING THIS ISSUE AND TO UNDERSCORE THE EFFORTS THAT THEY ARE TAKING TO COMPLY WITH THE COURT'S NOVEMBER 5TH AND ITS PRIOR ORDERS.

AS I MENTIONED, SINCE THE NOVEMBER 5TH ORDER, YOUR HONOR,
THE GOVERNMENT HAS SPENT A SIGNIFICANT AMOUNT OF TIME WRESTLING
WITH THE QUESTION OF HOW TO GET THESE DOCUMENTS PRODUCED.

AS YOU ALLUDED TO, MR. SCHENK AND I TRAVELLED BACK TO WASHINGTON, D.C. TO MEET BOTH THE FDA, TO MEET WITH CMS TO COME UP WITH A PLAN TO MAKE SURE THAT THESE DOCUMENTS ARE GETTING PRODUCED.

AND AS PREPARING FOR THE HEARING TODAY, WHAT WAS STRIKING
TO ME IS THE AMOUNT OF COMMON GROUND THERE ACTUALLY IS WITH THE
PARTIES ON THIS ISSUE.

THE GOVERNMENT REQUESTED THESE DOCUMENTS BE PRODUCED

VOLUNTARILY. THE DEFENDANT REQUESTED THESE DOCUMENTS BE

PRODUCED. THE FDA IS SAYING THAT IT WANTS TO PRODUCE THESE

DOCUMENTS, AND THE ONLY ISSUE NOW IS A MECHANICAL ONE, I THINK,

HOW WE CAN GET THIS DATA AS QUICKLY AS WE CAN OUTSIDE OF THE

FDA TO THE DEFENDANTS.

THE COURT: SOME TIME BEFORE APRIL 30TH, IS THAT WHAT YOU'RE GOING TO CONCLUDE?

MR. LEACH: THAT'S WHERE I'M GOING, YOUR HONOR.

1 10:12AM 2 10:12AM 3 10:12AM 10:12AM 4 10:12AM 10:12AM 6 10:12AM 7 10:12AM 8 10:12AM 9 10:12AM 10 10:12AM 11 10:12AM 12 10:13AM 13 10:13AM 14 10:13AM 15 10:13AM 16 10:13AM 17 10:13AM 18 10:13AM 19 10:13AM 20 10:13AM 21 10:13AM 22 10:13AM 23 10:13AM 24

10:13AM 25

THE COURT: GREAT.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ LEACH: AND I WANT TO EXPLAIN A LITTLE BIT ABOUT WHY THAT IS THE CASE.

I THINK THE ISSUE HERE IS WITH -- THE COURT'S ORDER REQUIRES ESSENTIALLY A RECOLLECTION OF DOCUMENTS BY THE FDA.

WHEN THE FDA STARTED TO PRODUCE DOCUMENTS IN RESPONSE TO THE S.E.C. SUBPOENA IN THE S.E.C. CASE, AND THEN THE MOTION TO COMPEL, FOR REASONS THAT I THINK ARE PERFECTLY DEFENSIBLE, IT DID NOT INCLUDE THIS TERM CALLED LDT. THERE WAS GOOD REASON FOR THAT. IT WASN'T LISTED IN THE SIX CATEGORIES. ALL OF THE DOCUMENTS APPEAR TO BE RELATED TO THERANOS.

SO WHEN THEY WERE GOING TO THEIR CUSTODIANS AND COLLECTING DOCUMENTS, THEY ARE NOT LOOKING FOR DOCUMENTS WITH THE TERM LDT.

THEY WERE LOOKING FOR DOCUMENTS RELATING TO THERANOS.

THEY WERE LOOKING FOR DOCUMENTS RESPONSIVE TO THE SIX

CATEGORIES, BUT THIS TERM "LDT" WAS NOT SOMETHING THAT THEY

WERE LOOKING FOR IN THE FIRST INSTANCE.

THE COURT'S ORDER RESOLVED THAT ISSUE. WE ACKNOWLEDGED
THE COURT'S ORDER. WE ACKNOWLEDGE THESE DOCUMENTS ARE -- THAT
THE PROSECUTION OF KNOWLEDGE AND ACCESS TO THEM, BUT
ESSENTIALLY WHAT WE'VE BEEN DOING IS RECOLLECTING FROM OVER 88
CUSTODIANS TO TRY TO GET THE RIGHT UNIVERSE OF DOCUMENTS TO
MAKE SURE THAT THEY'RE BEING PRODUCED, AND THAT IS NO SMALL
FEAT, YOUR HONOR.

10:13AM	1	THAT REQUIRES, YOU KNOW, A TECHNICAL EXTRACTION FROM THE
10:13AM	2	FDA'S E-MAIL SYSTEM. THEY HAD A LIMITED LICENSE CAPACITY IN
10:13AM	3	ORDER TO DO THAT. THAT HAS BEEN FIXED, AND NOW THINGS ARE
10:13AM	4	MOVING A LITTLE BIT MORE QUICKLY.
10:13AM	5	IN ADDITION, WITH RESPECT TO NETWORK FILES, THERE'S A
10:13AM	6	PARTICULAR SOFTWARE THAT THE FDA USES THAT HAS ITS OWN LIMITS,
10:14AM	7	AND ON OUR TRIP BY MR. SCHENK AND BACK TO THE FDA WE ASKED,
10:14AM	8	WELL, ARE THERE RESOURCES THAT THE DOJ CAN GIVE TO HELP YOU
10:14AM	9	SOLVE THIS PROBLEM OR ARE THERE MORE PEOPLE THAT WE CAN SEND?
10:14AM	10	AND THE RESPONSE I'M GETTING IS NO, THAT THIS IS
10:14AM	11	COMPLICATED SOFTWARE, THAT IT REQUIRES A LENGTHY INSTALLATION
10:14AM	12	THAT IS INTEGRATED WITH THE FDA SYSTEM, THE FDA HAS ITS OWN
10:14AM	13	NETWORK CAPACITY.
10:14AM	14	AND I
10:14AM	15	THE COURT: PARDON ME FOR INTERRUPTING YOU,
10:14AM	16	MR. LEACH.
10:14AM	17	SO YOU HAD OFFERED BODIES
10:14AM	18	MR. LEACH: I DID, YOUR HONOR.
10:14AM	19	THE COURT: TO GO TO THE FDA AND ASSIST IN
10:14AM	20	CULLING THIS INFORMATION?
10:14AM	21	MR. LEACH: I DID, YOUR HONOR, YES.
10:14AM	22	THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU.
10:14AM	23	MR. LEACH: IN ADDITION, THE DOJ IS THROWING A LOT
10:14AM	24	OF BODIES, INCLUDING ME, INCLUDING MR. SCHENK, AND INCLUDING
10:14AM	25	MR. BOSTIC, AND ACTUALLY DOING SOME OF THE REVIEW OF THE DATA

THAT WE ARE GETTING FROM THE FDA.

SO I DON'T WANT TO STAND UP HERE AND LEAVE THE IMPRESSION THAT THE DOJ IS NOT THROWING TREMENDOUS RESOURCES AT THIS. WE ARE. BUT THERE ARE SOME TECHNICAL ISSUES AT THE HEART OF THIS WHERE IN ORDER FOR DOJ TO REVIEW THIS, WE NEED TO EXTRACT IT FROM THE E-MAIL SYSTEM USING THE TERMS THAT WERE NOW AGREED UPON WITH THE DEFENSE. AND EVERY DAY I ASK THE QUESTION, WHY CAN'T THAT GO FASTER? AND THE ANSWER, UNFORTUNATELY, IS SIMPLY NOT ENOUGH LICENSES WITHIN THE FDA, INSTALLATION OF MORE WILL TAKE A LOT OF TIME AND IS INTERTWINED WITH THE FDA NETWORK.

AND THERE JUST WAS NOT A GOOD TECHNOLOGICAL SOLUTION TO THAT.

THE GOOD NEWS, YOUR HONOR, IS WE'VE RECEIVED -- DOJ HAS

RECEIVED THREE TRANCHES OF DATA FROM FDA FROM THE E-MAIL

COLLECTION. I'VE LOST TRACK OF THE EXACT NUMBER OF CUSTODIANS,

BUT I THINK IT'S SOMEWHERE IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD OF 25 TO 30, AND

I THINK AN ADDITIONAL 10 WILL BE COMPLETED SOME TIME WITHIN THE

NEXT WEEK OR SO.

THERE'S ALSO NETWORK DATA THAT NEEDS TO BE EXTRACTED, AND THERE'S ALSO THESE ARCHIVED CUSTODIANS THAT NEED TO BE RESTORED AND THEN DELIVERED TO DOJ FOR REVIEW.

AND I WANT TO EMPHASIZE, THIS IS A RESULT OF IN PART

RE-COLLECTING FOR THIS TERM LDT, WHICH I THINK THE FDA HAD

DEFENSIBLE REASONS FOR NOT INCLUDING IN ITS INITIAL COLLECTION,

BUT WE ARE WHERE WE ARE ON THAT ISSUE, YOUR HONOR, AND THE

1 10:16AM 2 10:16AM 3 10:16AM 10:16AM 4 10:16AM 10:16AM 6 10:16AM 7 10:16AM 8 10:17AM 9 10:17AM 10 10:17AM 11 10:17AM 12 10:17AM 13 10:17AM 14 10:17AM 15 10:17AM 16 10:17AM 17 10:17AM 18 10:17AM 19 10:17AM 20 10:17AM 21 10:17AM 22 10:17AM 23 10:17AM 24

10:17AM 25

COURT HAS RULED ON IT AND WE'VE ACCEPTED THAT.

WE'RE TRYING AS QUICKLY AS WE CAN TO MAKE THAT RE-COLLECTION HAPPEN AS QUICKLY AS IT CAN HAPPEN.

WE'VE PRODUCED OVER 130,000 DOCUMENTS TO THE DEFENDANTS

PRIOR TO -- ON DECEMBER 21ST, 2019, IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE

COURT'S ORDER. I NOW HAVE SOMETHING IN THE MAGNITUDE OF 400

GIGABYTES OF DATA AT THE DOJ THAT WE ARE LOOKING THROUGH AND

ANTICIPATING MAKING ANOTHER PRODUCTION IN THE NEXT WEEK OR TWO.

SO WE ARE MOVING JUST ABSOLUTELY AS FAST AS WE CAN. AND I
WANT TO EMPHASIZE THAT THERE IS AN ELEMENT OF DIMINISHING
RETURNS ON THESE DOCUMENTS THAT WE'RE PRODUCING. I DON'T WANT
TO MINIMIZE THE IMPORTANCE OF DOING THE SEARCH RIGHT, BECAUSE
WE ARE DOING THE SEARCH RIGHT.

BUT THERE ARE 88 CUSTODIANS THAT WE'RE LOOKING FOR HERE,
YOUR HONOR. A HANDFUL OF THESE WITNESSES AT BEST ARE EVER
GOING TO STEP FOOT IN THIS COURTROOM. IT IS NOT OUR INTENTION
TO DROP HUNDREDS OF THOUSANDS OF DOCUMENTS ON THE DEFENDANTS ON
APRIL 30TH. THAT IS NOT WHAT WE ARE TRYING TO DO.

WE ARE TRYING TO MOVE AS QUICKLY AS WE CAN AND BUILD IN A REALISTIC TIMETABLE WHERE I HOPE BY APRIL 30TH, OR SHORTLY AFTER THAT, IT'S THE LAST FEW CUSTODIANS THAT ARE COMING IN, BUT THESE ARE NOT DOCUMENTS THAT HAVEN'T BEEN IN SOMEBODY ELSE'S FILE OR SEEN SOMEWHERE ELSE, AND I AM NOT REVOLUTIONIZING THE CASE FOR LACK OF A BETTER WORD.

BUT THAT'S WHAT WE'RE DOING.

THE DEFENSE RESPONSE TO THIS IS ESSENTIALLY MOVE FASTER, 1 10:18AM AND THE GOVERNMENT HAS MET AND SPENT A LOT OF TIME TRYING TO 2 10:18AM MAKE THIS MOVE AS QUICKLY AS WE CAN. 3 10:18AM 10:18AM 4 AS I HAVE EMPHASIZED, WE HAVE ALREADY PRODUCED 130,000 DOCUMENTS BETWEEN NOVEMBER 5TH AND DECEMBER 31ST. 10:18AM 10:18AM 6 THE COURT: APPARENTLY THEY'RE SPEED READERS AND 10:18AM 7 THEY FINISHED GOING THROUGH THAT AND THEY NEED MORE WORK. MR. LEACH: I'M DOING WHAT I CAN THERE. 10:18AM 8 THE COURT: WE'LL HEAR FROM THEM A MOMENT. 10:18AM 9 10:18AM 10 I APPRECIATE THAT. BUT YOU ALSO INDICATE IN YOUR 10:18AM 11 PLEADINGS THAT IN AN EFFORT TO GAIN EFFICIENCY IN THE 10:18AM 12 PRODUCTION, YOU HAVE WORKED, I THINK, WITH THE DEFENSE TO 10:18AM 13 IDENTIFY MORE CRITICAL WITNESSES AND INFORMATION AND YOU HAVE 10:18AM 14 FOCUSSED ON ACCOMPLISHING AND RELEASING THAT IN A PRIORITY TYPE 10:18AM 15 OF MANNER. MR. LEACH: I THINK QUITE COLLABORATIVELY, YOUR 10:18AM 16 HONOR. I'LL LET THEM SPEAK TO THAT. BUT THERE'S AGREEMENT ON 10:18AM 17 10:18AM 18 THE TERMS THAT SHOULD BE USED TO DO THIS RE-COLLECTION. 10:19AM 19 THE DEFENSE HAS AGREED TO AN ORDER REQUIRING THE FDA TO 10:19AM 20 PRODUCE CERTAIN DOCUMENTS AND NOTWITHSTANDING STATUTORY 10:19AM 21 PROHIBITIONS ON US DOING THAT; AND, THEREFORE, ENABLING THE DOJ 10:19AM 22 TO CONDUCT THE REVIEW. SO I THINK THERE HAS BEEN COLLABORATION 10:19AM 23 ON THAT. 10:19AM 24 I WOULD ALSO EMPHASIZE THAT THEY VERY HELPFULLY GAVE US A 10:19AM 25 LIST OF THE 22 MOST IMPORTANT CUSTODIANS IN THEIR MIND, AND WE

10:19AM	1	HAVE PRIORITIZED THOSE. I THINK THE E-MAIL FILES FOR ALL OF
10:19AM	2	THE ACTIVE ONES ARE AT LEAST NOW IN DOJ'S POSSESSION AND WILL
10:19AM	3	BE GOING OUT SHORTLY.
10:19AM	4	THE COURT: SO GOING OUT SHORTLY MEANING YOU'LL BE
10:19AM	5	ABLE TO RELEASE THOSE 22?
10:19AM	6	MR. LEACH: I NEED TO BE CAREFUL, YOUR HONOR,
10:19AM	7	BECAUSE THERE ARE SOME FORMER EMPLOYEES THAT I DON'T THINK I
10:19AM	8	HAVE YET. WHEN I SIT DOWN I CAN CHECK ON THAT DETAIL.
10:19AM	9	BUT IF THEY'RE A CURRENT FDA EMPLOYEE, WE WILL HAVE ALL OF
10:19AM	10	THE E-MAIL DATA. IF I DON'T ALREADY HAVE IT, IT'S GOING TO BE
10:19AM	11	THIS WEEK, AND THAT WILL BE GOING OUT VERY SHORTLY.
10:19AM	12	THE COURT: TO THEM?
10:19AM	13	MR. LEACH: YES. OH, YES.
10:19AM	14	THE COURT: SO LET ME ASK YOU A LARGE OVERARCHING
10:20AM	15	QUESTION PERHAPS, WHICH IS IF WE WAIT UNTIL APRIL 30TH, THE
10:20AM	16	COURT SAYS I APPRECIATE YOUR EFFORTS, AND I DO, LET ME JUST SAY
10:20AM	17	THAT, I DO APPRECIATE. SENDING LAWYERS OUT TO WASHINGTON, D.C.
10:20AM	18	IN JANUARY IS A REMARKABLE THING TO DO. AND YOU'VE MET YOUR
10:20AM	19	DECLARATION.
10:20AM	20	YOUR BRIEFING TELLS US THAT YOU'VE MET IN BALTIMORE AND IN
10:20AM	21	WASHINGTON, D.C. WITH THE APPROPRIATE PARTIES. MY SENSE IS
10:20AM	22	THAT YOU'VE URGED THEM, YOU'VE EXPRESSED THE URGENCY OF THIS
10:20AM	23	COURT'S ORDER AND WHAT THAT MEANS AND THE NEED FOR THIS
10:20AM	24	INFORMATION FOR THIS PARTICULAR LITIGATION.
10:20AM	25	MY QUESTION IS IF WE WAIT UNTIL APRIL 30TH, WHAT IS YOUR

POSITION ON WHETHER OR NOT THAT WILL DISTURB THE TRIAL DATE? 10:20AM 1 MR. BOSTIC: IT WILL NOT DISTURB THE TRIAL DATE FROM 2 10:20AM THE GOVERNMENT'S PERSPECTIVE, YOUR HONOR. WE ARE NOT ASKING 3 10:20AM 10:20AM 4 FOR MORE TIME. 10:20AM 5 THESE ARE NOT DOCUMENTS THAT THE GOVERNMENT EXPECTS TO BE 10:21AM 6 USING IN ITS CASE-IN-CHIEF, AND WE WILL BE PREPARED TO HAVE 10:21AM 7 REVIEWED THEM AND DIGESTED THEM WITHIN THE TIME ALLOTTED FOR THE TRIAL DATE. I DON'T KNOW WHAT THE DEFENSE'S POSITION IS. 10:21AM 8 THE COURT: WELL, YOU CAN ANTICIPATE THAT THEY'RE 10:21AM 9 10:21AM 10 GOING TO SAY THAT GIVES US 90 DAYS TO GO THROUGH WHATEVER 10:21AM 11 TERABYTES THIS INFORMATION IS, AND WE CAN'T POSSIBLY DO THAT. 10:21AM 12 AND THEY'LL START TALKING ABOUT THE CONSTITUTION AND THE SIXTH AMENDMENT AND THE FIFTH AMENDMENT AND OTHERS. AND 10:21AM 13 THEY'LL SAY, JUDGE, WE NEED MORE TIME TO GO THROUGH THIS. IT'S 10:21AM 14 10:21AM 15 NOT FAIR TO UNLOAD THIS WHEELBARROW OF INFORMATION ON US WHILE WE'RE TRYING TO PREPARE. 10:21AM 16 BUT WHAT YOU'VE SAID IS THAT'S NOT WHAT'S HAPPENING. IT 10:21AM 17 10:21AM 18 SOUNDS LIKE THERE'S A ROLLING RELEASE OF INFORMATION AND THE 10:21AM 19 INFORMATION THAT THEY'RE GETTING IS SUFFICIENT FOR THEM TO 10:21AM 20 REVIEW NOW. 10:21AM 21 IF YOU GAVE THEM A WHOLE DUMP TRUCK OF INFORMATION NOW, IT WOULD PROBABLY TAKE THEM BEYOND APRIL 30TH JUST TO READ IT MY 10:21AM 22 10:21AM 23 SENSE IS. 10:22AM 24 MR. LEACH: IT ABSOLUTELY IS A ROLLING PRODUCTION, 10:22AM 25 YOUR HONOR. THE POINT I WOULD LIKE TO MAKE THERE IS THIS IS

NOT A SITUATION WHERE IT'S ANYBODY'S INTENTION TO WAIT UNTIL 1 10:22AM APRIL 30TH AND SAY, HERE YOU GO, THIS IS THE UNIVERSE OF FDA 2 10:22AM DOCUMENTS, REVIEW THEM. 3 10:22AM 10:22AM 4 WE'RE GETTING AS MUCH AS WE CAN TO THEM AS QUICKLY AS WE 10:22AM 5 CAN TO THEM. 10:22AM 6 BUT WHEN YOU ASK ME WHEN CAN I BE DONE REVIEWING THE FILES 10:22AM 7 OF 88 CUSTODIANS AND GOING THROUGH ALL OF THE MECHANICAL ISSUES THAT WE HAVE TO GO THROUGH TO EXTRACT THAT DATA, GET IT TO DOJ, 10:22AM 8 GET THE REVIEW DONE, THE BEST I CAN PROMISE ON THAT IS 10:22AM 9 10:22AM 10 APRIL 30TH. 10:22AM 11 BUT IT IS NOT OUR INTENTION AT ALL TO WAIT UNTIL THEN TO 10:22AM 12 PRODUCE AS LARGE A CHUNK AS WE CAN. THE COURT: OKAY. TELL ME WHAT YOU MEAN BY "A 10:22AM 13 MANUAL SEARCH" FOR MARGINALLY RELEVANT CUSTODIANS? 10:22AM 14 10:22AM 15 IS THERE A DISCUSSION OR DISPUTE ABOUT A MANUAL SEARCH AS OPPOSED TO AN ELECTRONIC OR OTHER SEARCH? 10:23AM 16 10:23AM 17 MR. LEACH: WHAT I MEAN BY THAT, YOUR HONOR, IS IT'S 10:23AM 18 A SELF-COLLECTION. SO WHAT THE GOVERNMENT'S INTENTION WITH 10:23AM 19 THOSE 23 INDIVIDUALS IS THAT WE WOULD GIVE THEM THE 6 10:23AM 20 CATEGORIES OF DOCUMENTS, WE WOULD GIVE THEM A LIST OF SEARCH TERMS. THOSE SEARCH TERMS WOULD INCLUDE THERANOS, LDT, 10:23AM 21 10:23AM 22 FRANKLY, WHATEVER THE DEFENSE WANTS TO INCLUDE ON THAT AND HAS 10:23AM 23 INCLUDED ON THAT, AND WE WOULD ASK THOSE CUSTODIANS TO PERFORM 10:23AM 24 THE SEARCH ON THEIR COMPUTER, SO GO INTO YOUR E-MAIL FILE AND 10:23AM 25 LOOK FOR THE WORD "THERANOS."

1 10:23AM 2 10:23AM 3 10:23AM 10:23AM 4 10:23AM 10:23AM 10:23AM 7 8 10:24AM 10:24AM 9 10:24AM 10 10:24AM 11 10:24AM 12 10:24AM 13 10:24AM 14 10:24AM 15 10:24AM 16 10:24AM 17 10:24AM 18 10:24AM 19 10:24AM 20 10:24AM 21 10:24AM 22 10:24AM 23 10:24AM 24 10:25AM 25

IF YOU HAVE AN E-MAIL FILE FOR THERANOS, COPY THAT TO THIS DRIVE SO THE I.T. PROFESSIONALS CAN PROCESS THAT.

THE COURT: AND THIS WOULD BE SUPERVISED BY DOJ INDIVIDUALS?

MR. LEACH: DOJ -- WE WILL DO WHATEVER THE COURT REQUIRES OF US ON THAT POINT, AND I THINK THERE'S SOME, YOU KNOW, WE'RE NOT -- WE HAVE ACCESS AND KNOWLEDGE OF THESE DOCUMENTS. I'M NOT HERE TO SAY ANYTHING OTHERWISE.

BUT I DON'T WORK IN THE FDA. WHEN I GO TO THE FDA, YOUR HONOR, I WALK THROUGH THE SECURITY GATE JUST LIKE ANYBODY ELSE. THEY'RE STATUTORILY PROHIBITED FROM GIVING ME ACCESS TO CERTAIN INFORMATION, AND SO I WILL NOT BE SITTING OVER THE COMPUTER AS SOMEBODY DOES THAT, BUT DOJ WILL HAVE SIGNIFICANT INPUT ON THE SCRIPT OR THE INSTRUCTIONS OR THE DIRECTION THAT THEY WILL BE GIVEN.

AND I NEED TO EMPHASIZE, HALF OF THIS GROUP IS LAWYERS WHO ARE AS CAPABLE, IF NOT MORE CAPABLE, THAN ME FOR FINDING THESE DOCUMENTS.

I REALLY THINK THAT IN THE DIALOGUE THAT WE WERE HAVING
BEFORE ABOUT DEFICIENCIES IN THE SEARCH, YOU KNOW, I HAVE NO
REASON TO THINK THAT THESE LAWYERS AREN'T PERFECTLY CAPABLE OF
FINDING THE RESPONSIVE DOCUMENTS WITH VERY PRECISE DIRECTIONS
FROM DOJ, SOMETHING IN WRITING, AND SO THAT'S WHAT WE MEAN BY
SELF-COLLECTION AS OPPOSED TO THE ELECTRONIC COLLECTION, WHICH
WE'VE BEEN DOING, WHERE THE FDA'S I.T. TEAM IS GOING INTO

OUTLOOK THEMSELVES, RUNNING THE SEARCH TERMS THEMSELVES, TAKING 1 10:25AM 2 THAT DATA, AND GIVING IT TO DOJ. 10:25AM SO THERE'S NO REAL JUDGMENT IN ANY OF THAT. BUT THE 3 10:25AM 10:25AM 4 SELF-COLLECTION IS, FOR EXAMPLE, IF I GIVE ONE SHEET OF INSTRUCTIONS TO YOUR HONOR TO GO BACK TO YOUR CHAMBERS AND LOOK 10:25AM FOR YOUR THERANOS FILE, AND PUT IT HERE, THAT'S WHAT WE MEAN BY 10:25AM 10:25AM 7 SELF-COLLECTION. THE COURT: ARE YOU SUGGESTING THAT THAT WILL 8 10:25AM EXPEDITE THE MANUAL SELF-SELECTION? WILL THAT BE QUICKER OR 10:25AM 9 10:25AM 10 WILL THAT BE FASTER THAN THE ELECTRONIC VERSION, OR IS THAT IN 10:25AM 11 ADDITION TO? 10:25AM 12 MR. LEACH: IT WILL BE QUICKER, IT WILL BE FASTER, BUT THAT THE -- THAT WITH ELECTRONIC COLLECTION IS STILL GOING 10:25AM 13 TO TAKE TO BE DONE, DONE, APRIL 30TH. 10:25AM 14 10:25AM 15 IF THE COURT REQUIRES US TO DO SOMETHING DIFFERENT FOR THOSE 23, WE'RE LOOKING AT A TIMEFRAME THAT IS EVEN LONGER. 10:26AM 16 10:26AM 17 THE COURT: I SEE. 10:26AM 18 SO THE QUESTION THAT A PERSON MIGHT ASK IS, WELL, THAT'S 10:26AM 19 FINE, YOU CAN ASK PEOPLE TO GO TO THEIR COMPUTERS AND DO THIS, 10:26AM 20 BUT HOW DO WE KNOW? HOW DO WE VERIFY THAT THEY'RE ACTUALLY DOING THAT? 10:26AM 21 10:26AM 22 IF A FILE COMES UP AND IT'S SOMETHING THAT THEY MISS OR 10:26AM 23 THEY MIGHT HAVE SOME QUALMS ABOUT, HOW DO WE KNOW WE'RE GETTING 10:26AM 24 EVERYTHING? 10:26AM 25 I EXPECT THE DEFENSE MIGHT SAY THERE'S NO ASSURANCE AS FAR

AS -- WE'RE JUST RELYING ON THE INDIVIDUAL AS OPPOSED TO AN 1 10:26AM 2 ACTUAL REAL ELECTRONIC RECORD OF WHAT WAS DONE. 10:26AM MR. LEACH: THOSE ARE PROBLEMS THAT ARE INHERENT IN 3 10:26AM 10:26AM 4 ANY DOCUMENT COLLECTION BY ANYBODY. IF YOU HAVE A CLIENT, YOUR HONOR, AND YOU SAY -- THE 10:26AM RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN ME AND THE FDA IS DIFFERENT THAN THIS, BUT 10:26AM 10:26AM 7 I'M USING THIS AS A HYPOTHETICAL FOR YOU. YOU KNOW, IF YOU SAY THE OTHER SIDE HAS ASKED FOR THESE 8 10:26AM FIVE DOCUMENTS, I NEED TO GO LOOK THROUGH YOUR FILES TO FIND 10:26AM 9 10:27AM 10 THIS, AND THEY SHOW YOU THE FILES, BUT THEN THEY REMEMBER THAT 10:27AM 11 THEY HAVE SOME IN THE GARAGE, LIKE ALL OF THIS IS INHERENTLY 10:27AM 12 DEPENDENT ON SOME INDIVIDUAL AT THE END OF THE DAY RECEIVING 10:27AM 13 THIS REQUEST. BUT I'M CONFIDENT ABOUT WHAT THE GOVERNMENT'S RULE 16 10:27AM 14 10:27AM 15 OBLIGATION IS THAT IF, WITH THE HELP OF THE DEFENSE WITH THE FDA'S I.T. PROFESSIONALS, THE FACT THAT THESE ARE VERY 10:27AM 16 10:27AM 17 SOPHISTICATED LAWYERS WORKING WITHIN THE OFFICE OF CHIEF 10:27AM 18 COUNSEL, IF I SAY GO LOOK HERE, GO LOOK THERE, RUN THESE 10:27AM 19 SEARCHES, NO DISCRETION IN THE SEARCH TERMS, NO DISCRETION IN 10:27AM 20 WHERE THEY'RE WORKING, THE LIKELIHOOD OF A MATERIAL DOCUMENT 10:27AM 21 BEING MISSED GOES WAY, WAY DOWN. 10:27AM 22 SO, YOU KNOW, NO SYSTEM IS PERFECT, YOUR HONOR. 10:27AM 23 THE COURT: SURE. EVERYTHING IS SUBJECT TO HUMAN 10:27AM 24 FOIBLE. 10:27AM 25 BUT I'M JUST CURIOUS, AND I'LL ASK THE DEFENSE ABOUT THIS,

10:27AM	1	IT MAY BE THAT THIS COURT AND I'LL ASK MS. AMIN HER OPINION
10:27AM	2	ABOUT THIS AS WELL IT MAY BE THAT THE COURT COULD HAVE THAT
10:28AM	3	GO FORWARD, THAT MANUAL SEARCH GO FORWARD WITH THIS COURT'S
10:28AM	4	ORDER TO THE ATTORNEYS WHO ARE DOING THE SEARCH TO DO CERTAIN
10:28AM	5	THINGS TO MAKE SURE THAT THEY TURN OVER EVERY DOCUMENT, NO
10:28AM	6	QUESTION ABOUT IT.
10:28AM	7	IN OTHER WORDS, THERE'S NO HOW SHALL I SAY? JUDGMENT
10:28AM	8	INVOLVED. IT'S THEY ARE PUSH THE BUTTON, AND PUSH PRINT, OR
10:28AM	9	WHATEVER IT IS, AND THAT'S IT, AND THEY HAVE NO DISCRETION ON
10:28AM	10	THAT.
10:28AM	11	DO YOU THINK YOU AND YOUR COLLEAGUE OPPOSITES COULD COME
10:28AM	12	UP WITH AN ORDER THAT MIGHT SATISFY MS. AMIN, AND MS. NORTON,
10:28AM	13	AND MS. MARTINEZ-RESLY, AND MR. WEINFIELD ABOUT THOSE THINGS?
10:28AM	14	MR. LEACH: YES, YOUR HONOR.
10:28AM	15	THE COURT: OKAY.
10:28AM	16	MR. LEACH: BEFORE I PASS THE MIKE, YOUR HONOR, WE
10:28AM	17	DID INVITE THE CHIEF COUNSEL FROM THE FDA TO BE PRESENT FOR
10:28AM	18	THIS HEARING. SHE WANTED VERY MUCH TO BE HERE IN PERSON, BUT
10:28AM	19	SOME OTHER VERY IMPORTANT DEMANDS GOT IN THE WAY.
10:28AM	20	BUT I DO THINK IT'S IMPORTANT THAT THE COURT HEAR DIRECTLY
10:28AM	21	FROM MS. AMIN
10:29AM	22	THE COURT: SURE.
10:29AM	23	MR. LEACH: ABOUT THE EFFORTS THAT THE FDA IS
10:29AM	24	TAKING.
10:29AM	25	THE COURT: WELL, THANK YOU. I'M HAPPY TO DO THAT.

1 10:29AM 2 10:29AM 3 10:29AM 10:29AM 4 5 10:29AM 10:29AM 6 10:29AM 7 10:29AM 8 10:29AM 9 10:29AM 10 10:29AM 11 10:29AM 12 10:29AM 13 10:29AM 14 10:29AM 15 10:29AM 16 10:29AM 17 10:30AM 18 10:30AM 19 10:30AM 20 10:30AM 21 10:30AM 22 10:30AM 23 10:30AM 24 10:30AM 25

MS. AMIN, THANK YOU FOR JOINING US TELEPHONICALLY. YOU'VE HEARD OUR DISCUSSION AND SOME OF THE QUESTIONS THAT I'VE HAD.

I'M HAPPY TO HEAR FROM YOU NOW IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO SHARE ANY

ITEM WITH US.

MS. AMIN: YOUR HONOR, THANK YOU TO GIVING ME THE OPPORTUNITY TO ADDRESS THE COURT. AND I WANT TO ASSURE YOU THAT THE FDA IS MAKING EXTRAORDINARY EFFORTS TO COMPLY WITH THE DOCUMENT PRODUCTION SCHEDULE.

THIS IS AN UNPRECEDENTED SITUATION FOR THE FDA. WE HAVE

NEVER BEFORE BEEN SUBJECT TO A DOCUMENT PRODUCTION OF THIS

SCOPE ON THIS TIMEFRAME WHERE WE'RE PRODUCING HUNDREDS OF

THOUSANDS OF PAGES OF DOCUMENTS IN A MATTER OF MONTHS, AND MANY

OF THOSE DOCUMENTS DETAIL SENSITIVE AGENCY DELIBERATIONS AND

CONFIDENTIAL AND COMMERCIAL TRADE SECRET INFORMATION PROTECTED

BY STATUTE.

SO IT'S BEEN VERY CHALLENGING AND UNPRECEDENTED THE SITUATION THAT WE ARE TASKED WITH.

AND I WANT TO ASSURE YOU THAT THE LEADERSHIP AT THE AGENCY, ACROSS THE WHOLE AGENCY AND AT THE HIGHEST LEVEL OF THE AGENCY ARE ENGAGED IN REGULAR DISCUSSIONS ABOUT HOW TO COMPLY WITH THE COURT'S SCHEDULE.

WE HAVE DEDICATED CAREER CIVIL SERVANTS THAT ARE WORKING
200 PERCENT OF THEIR NORMAL CAPACITY ON THIS, AND WE HAVE
DIVERTED STAFF AND RESOURCES AWAY FROM OTHER HIGH MAJORITY
MISSIONS, SUPPORTIVE TASKS, INCLUDING CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIONS,

10:30AM	1
10:30AM	2
10:30AM	3
10:30AM	4
10:30AM	5
10:30AM	6
10:30AM	7
10:30AM	8
10:30AM	9
10:31AM	10
10:31AM	11
10:31AM	12
10:31AM	13
10:31AM	14
10:31AM	15
10:31AM	16
10:31AM	17
10:31AM	18
10:31AM	19
10:31AM	20
10:31AM	21
10:31AM	22
10:31AM	23
10:31AM	24
10•317M	25

INJUNCTION REFERRALS, WARNING LETTERS AS EXAMPLES. I CAN'T GET INTO SPECIFIC PROJECTS, BUT IT'S THE KIND OF WORK THAT SUPPORTS OUR CRITICAL PUBLIC HEALTH MISSION TO ENSURE THE SAFETY OF THE FOOD WE EAT AND THE MEDICAL PRODUCTS THAT WE USE, AND SOME OF THAT WORK HAS TAKEN A BACK SEAT TO THIS DISCOVERY, AND I JUST TELL YOU THAT TO ILLUSTRATE HOW SERIOUSLY WE ARE TAKING THIS AND HOW HARD WE ARE TRYING TO MEET THE SCHEDULE.

BUT EVEN DESPITE THE EXTRA STAFF AND RESOURCES THAT WE HAVE DEVOTED AND WE HAVE TAKEN ADVANTAGE OF EVERY OFFER OF SUPPORT AND RESOURCES THAT DOJ HAS PROVIDED THAT WOULD HELP SPEED THE PROCESS ALONG, EVEN WITH ALL OF THAT, THERE ARE TECHNICAL LIMITATIONS TO WHAT WE CAN DO.

AND I CAN GO INTO MORE DETAIL ABOUT WHAT THOSE TECHNICAL LIMITATIONS ARE. THE GOVERNMENT'S COUNSEL HAS HIGHLIGHTED A FEW OF THEM, BUT I CAN GET INTO MORE DETAIL, AND THERE ARE REALLY THREE MAJOR LIMITATIONS.

FIRST, OUR SOFTWARE HAS LIMITATIONS FOR DOCUMENTS FROM
NETWORK DRIVES. FDA MUST USE THE NK SOFTWARE TO COLLECT,
SEARCH, AND EXPORT THOSE DOCUMENTS AND NK'S CONTRACTORS MUST
HAVE THE SOFTWARE INSTALLED AND BE LICENSED AND TRAINED TO USE
IT.

FDA HAS TWO NK LICENSE FOR LITIGATION AND COMPLIANCE. AND WHAT WE HAVE FOUND IS THAT THE SOFTWARE IS PROHIBITIVELY SLOW WHEN RUNNING SEARCH STRINGS AT THE SAME TIME THAT WE ARE COLLECTING.

OUR I.T. STAFF BELIEVES IT WOULD TAKE TOO MUCH TIME TO 1 10:31AM 2 ONBOARD AND TRAIN MORE CONTRACTORS WITHIN THE TIMEFRAME THAT 10:31AM THIS DISCOVERY NEEDS TO OCCUR, SO WE HAVE ADDRESSED THIS 3 10:31AM 10:32AM 4 LIMITATION BY EXPORTING DOCUMENTS WITHOUT CONCURRENTLY RUNNING THE SEARCH TERMS, AND INSTEAD DOJ IS NOW GETTING THE NATIVE 10:32AM 10:32AM 6 FILES THEMSELVES AND RUNNING THE SEARCHS THEMSELVES. 10:32AM 7 AS THE GOVERNMENT COUNSEL JUST EXPLAINED, FOR A SET OF CUSTODIANS WILL BE SELF-COLLECTING SO THAT WE CAN CONCURRENTLY 10:32AM 8 DO BOTH SETS AT THE SAME TIME. 10:32AM 9 10:32AM 10 SIMILARLY, WE HAVE LIMITATIONS WITH OUR CONTRACT WITH 10:32AM 11 MICROSOFT OR OUTLOOK 365 WHICH LIMITED HOW MUCH DATA A DAY WE 10:32AM 12 COULD DOWNLOAD AND HOW MANY CONCURRENT SEARCHES WE COULD RUN. 10:32AM 13 BUT REALLY THE BIGGEST PROBLEM IN OUTLOOK 365 WERE THE ERRORS THAT OCCUR WHEN WE PERFORM CONCURRENT SEARCHES. SO WE 10:32AM 14 10:32AM 15 ARE NO LONGER PERFORMING SEARCHES IN OUTLOOK AND AS INSTEAD, AS WAS MENTIONED, WE'RE GIVING DOJ THE NATIVE FILES AND DOJ IS 10:32AM 16 10:32AM 17 RUNNING THE SEARCHES, AND MY UNDERSTANDING IS THAT THEY ARE 10:33AM 18 PUTTING A SIGNIFICANT AMOUNT OF RESOURCES INTO THAT. 10:33AM 19 AND WE HAVE ALSO ADDRESSED OUR DOWNLOAD LIMITATIONS BY 10:33AM 20 INCREASING OUR CAPACITY WITH MICROSOFT. ALL OF THAT HAS TAKEN 10:33AM 21 TIME TO DO. 10:33AM 22 10:33AM 23 10:33AM 24 10:33AM 25 THAT WE HAVE, WE'VE FOUND THAT AS WE HAVE IMPROVED THE

1 10:33AM 2 10:33AM 3 10:33AM 10:33AM 4 10:33AM 10:33AM 6 10:33AM 7 10:33AM 8 10:33AM 9 10:33AM 10 10:33AM 11 10:34AM 12 10:34AM 13 10:34AM 14 10:34AM 15 10:34AM 16 10:34AM 17 10:34AM 18 10:34AM 19 10:34AM 20 10:34AM 21 10:34AM 22 10:34AM 23 10:34AM 24 10:34AM 25

EFFICIENCY OF OUR SOFTWARE AND WE CAN MANAGE MORE DOWNLOADS AT

A TIME THROUGH THAT SOFTWARE, WE BELIEVE OUR NETWORK MAY BE

LIMITING HOW MUCH DATA WE CAN EXPORT IN A DAY. OUR NETWORK HAS

TO MANAGE ALL OF THE AGENCY'S TRAFFIC, AND THERE ARE LIMITS ON

HOW MUCH TOTAL TRAFFIC IT CAN ACCOMMODATE BEFORE NETWORK

FUNCTIONS ARE DISRUPTED.

SO AT THE HIGHEST LEVEL OF THE AGENCY WE ARE COMMITTED TO ADDRESSING THIS ISSUE SO THAT GOING FORWARD WE ARE NEVER IN THIS POSITION AGAIN OF NOT BEING ABLE TO MEET A COURT ORDERED DEADLINE.

THE ADDING NETWORK CAPACITY IS SOMETHING THAT OUR

TECHNICAL EXPERTS HAVE SAID IT CAN'T BE DONE OVERNIGHT OR EVEN

IN A MATTER OF MONTHS. IT'S A MAJOR ENDEAVOR THAT I'VE BEEN

TOLD CAN TAKE 12 TO 18 MONTHS. SO WE'RE WORKING TOWARDS THAT

LONG-TERM SOLUTION, BUT IT'S NOT SOMETHING THAT RIGHT NOW ANY

MONEY OR PEOPLE CAN FIX.

SO WHAT WE'RE DOING IN THE MEANTIME IS ADDRESSING THIS, AS THE GOVERNMENT'S COUNSEL EXPLAINED, CONCURRENTLY HAVING LOWER PRIORITY CUSTODIANS MANUALLY PULL THEIR RESPONSIVE DOCUMENTS, AND I CAN PROVIDE A LITTLE BIT MORE DETAIL ABOUT OUR PLAN FOR DOING THIS. THIS WILL BE DONE IN ACCORDANCE WITH A SUBCOLLECTION PROTOCOL THAT WILL BE DEVELOPED BY FDA AND DOJ TOGETHER.

WE WILL HAVE AN ATTORNEY FROM FDA WHO WILL LEAD THIS
PROCESS FOR THE AGENCY, AND WE'LL HAVE A TEAM STAFFED BY FOUR

10:34AM	1
10:34AM	2
10:34AM	3
10:34AM	4
10:34AM	5
10:35AM	6
10:35AM	7
10:35AM	8
10:35AM	9
10:35AM	10
10:35AM	11
10:35AM	12
10:35AM	13
10:35AM	14
10:35AM	15
10:35AM	16
10:35AM	17
10:35AM	18
10:35AM	19
10:35AM	20
10:35AM	21
10:36AM	22
10:36AM	23
10:36AM	24

10:36AM 25

OTHER ATTORNEYS AND OTHER COMPLIANCE PROFESSIONALS IN THE AGENCY, AND THIS TEAM WILL HAND HOLD CUSTODIANS THROUGH THE PROCESS OF THE MANUAL DOCUMENT COLLECTION SO THAT THEY'RE DONE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PROTOCOL.

I CAN TELL YOU THAT THIS IS SOMETHING THAT THE AGENCY HAS

NEVER DONE BEFORE, AND FOR MANY DECADES THE WAY THAT WE

RESPONDED TO SUBPOENAS AND OVERSIGHT REQUESTS AND FOIA AND

DISCOVERY LITIGATION WAS THROUGH MANUAL COLLECTION, AND THIS IS

AN EXTRA LAYER OF ASSURANCE THAT WE'LL PROVIDE THE PROCESS BY

HAVING THAT TEAM HAND HOLD THE CUSTODIANS.

AND AS THE GOVERNMENT'S COUNSEL SAID, TEN OF THOSE

CUSTODIANS ARE ATTORNEYS IN THE OFFICE OF CHIEF COUNSEL WHO

ARE, YOU KNOW, BARRED AND PRACTICING ATTORNEYS.

I CAN SURELY TELL YOU THEY CAN BE TRUSTED TO DO IT ACCURATELY AND CORRECTLY.

SO THE THIRD CHALLENGE THAT WE HAVE HAD ARE WITH ARCHIVED DOCUMENTS, AND THOSE ARE FROM FORMER EMPLOYEES. THEY POSE SIGNIFICANT TECHNICAL CHALLENGES FOR US. THE FILES ARE COMPRESSED TO SAVE STORAGE, SO THEY HAVE TO BE DECOMPRESSED TO BE READABLE, AND THAT HAS BEEN A RESOURCE INTENSIVE PROCESS.

AND ALSO THE WAY THE FILES ARE ARCHIVED, THEY LOSE THEIR

ATTACHMENTS IN THE ARCHIVING PROCESS SO THEY HAVE TO GO THROUGH

A PROCESS THAT IS CALLED REHYDRATION TO ENSURE THAT THE E-MAILS

ARE MATCHED WITH THEIR ATTACHMENT.

THE FDA IS USING A SOFTWARE CALLED SOURCE ONE FOR THIS

1 10:36AM 2 10:36AM 3 10:36AM 10:36AM 4 10:36AM 10:36AM 10:36AM 7 8 10:36AM 10:36AM 9 10:36AM 10 10:36AM 11 10:36AM 12 10:36AM 13 10:36AM 14 10:37AM 15 10:37AM 16 10:37AM 17 10:37AM 18 10:37AM 19 10:37AM 20 10:37AM 21 10:37AM 22 10:37AM 23 10:37AM 24 10:37AM 25

PROCESS. WE HAVE FOUND THAT THE SOURCE ONE SOFTWARE MAKES A
BIG DIFFERENCE HOW MUCH EXPERIENCE PEOPLE HAVE WITH THE
SOFTWARE AND HOW QUICKLY THEY CAN USE IT, AND THAT EXPERIENCE
IS SOMETHING THAT CAN'T HAPPEN OVERNIGHT, BUT WE HAVE DIVERTED
STAFF TO WORK WITH THE SOFTWARE AND TO GET TRAINED WHILE USING
IT.

WE HAVE ALSO UPGRADED THE PROCESSING AND MEMORY ON THE SOURCE ONE SERVERS WHICH WAS EFFECTIVE AT THE END OF DECEMBER, AND LAST WEEK WE COMPLETED A STORAGE CAPACITY UPGRADE, AND WE'RE ALSO UPGRADING THE SOURCE ONE SOFTWARE THAT WILL BE COMPLETE BY THE END OF JANUARY.

AND I CAN TELL YOU THAT OUR I.T. STAFF IS VERY EXCITED

ABOUT ALL OF THESE ENHANCEMENTS WE HAVE MADE AND UPGRADES THAT

WE ARE MAKING, AND THEY BELIEVE IT WILL PROVIDE ADDITIONAL

CAPACITY AND EFFICIENCY.

AGAIN, WITH THESE FILES, AS WITH THE OTHER FILES, WE'RE GOING TO GIVE THE DOJ THE NATIVE FILES, AND THEY'RE GOING TO RUN THE SEARCHS ON THEIR SOFTWARE.

SO WE ARE WORKING ON LONG-TERM IMPROVEMENTS THROUGH OUR DOCUMENT COLLECTION AND SEARCH CAPABILITIES, AND IT'S A HIGH AGENCY PRIORITY TO GET OUR E-DISCOVERY CAPABILITIES ON A STRONG FOOTING, AND THIS HAS GARNERED SIGNIFICANT AGENCY LEADERSHIP ATTENTION, BUT THERE ARE MANY LONG-TERM FIXES THAT REQUIRE A SIGNIFICANT INVESTMENT OF MONEY AND TALENT AND THEY CAN'T TAKE EFFECT ON THE TIMELINE THAT THE COURT HAS ORDERED. IT'S JUST

NOT TECHNICALLY POSSIBLE.

1

2

3

4

10:37AM

10:37AM

10:37AM

10:37AM

10:37AM

10:37AM 6

10:37AM 7

10:37AM 8

10:37AM 9

10:37AM 10

10:38AM 11

10:38AM 12

10:38AM 13

10:38AM 14

10:38AM 15

10:38AM 16

10:38AM 17

10:38AM 18

10:38AM 19

10:38AM 20

10:38AM 21

10:38AM 22

10:38AM 23

10:38AM 24

SO IN THE MEANTIME WE ARE BRINGING EVERY RESOURCE TO BEAR THAT WOULD BE HELPFUL TO THE PRODUCTION.

THE DOJ IS CUTTING DOWN ON A TREMENDOUS AMOUNT OF
PRODUCTION TIME BY TAKING POSSESSION OF THE DOCUMENTS AND
RUNNING THE SEARCHS, AND WE ARE NOT AWARE OF ANY OTHER
RESOURCES THAT DOJ COULD OFFER AT THIS TIME OR THAT THE FDA
COULD BRING TO BEAR THAT WOULD ALLOW US TO OVERCOME THE
TECHNICAL LIMITATIONS THAT WE HAVE TO WORK WITHIN.

SO I APOLOGIZE TO THE COURT THAT I WAS NOT ABLE TO ATTEND
THE HEARING IN PERSON, BUT WE DID SEND THREE ATTORNEYS FROM FDA
TO BE THERE IN PERSON, INCLUDING OUR VERY EXPERIENCED ATTORNEY
WE HIRED FROM ONE OF THE BIG D.C. LAW FIRMS TO OVERSEE OUR
E-DISCOVERY WORK, AND THEY COULD ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS THAT THE
COURT HAS OR I WOULD BE HAPPY TO.

AND I DO APPRECIATE THE OPPORTUNITY TO ADDRESS YOU.

THE COURT: WELL, THANK YOU, MS. AMIN. I APPRECIATE
YOU JOINING THE CONVERSATION. I KNOW YOU HAVE A BUSY SCHEDULE.
THIS IS AN IMPORTANT MATTER, AND I'M GRATEFUL FOR THE ATTENTION
THAT YOU'VE PLACED TO THIS.

I WAS GOING TO ASK YOU WHETHER OR NOT -- WE HEARD

MR. LEACH SAY THAT JUSTICE IS READY AND ABLE TO PROVIDE BODIES

AND ABLE ASSISTANCE, BUT WHAT I HEARD YOU SAY IS -- I THINK I

HEARD YOU SAY THAT ANYTHING THAT JUSTICE COULD DO AS FAR AS

MORE BODIES IS NOT -- WOULD NOT BE HELPFUL.

MS. AMIN: AND THEY'RE PROVIDING BODIES ON THE 1 10:38AM 2 SEARCHES, AND THAT HAS BEEN A HUGE HELP. I CAN -- OUR ORIGINAL 10:39AM ESTIMATES FOR HOW LONG IT WOULD TAKE TO COMPLY WITH THIS WERE A 3 10:39AM 10:39AM 4 NUMBER OF MONTHS THAT YOU WON'T EVEN WANT TO HEAR US MENTION IN THIS COURT, BUT DOJ HAS PROVIDED THE RESOURCES TO RUN THE 10:39AM SEARCH WHICH HAS BROUGHT US TO THE POINT OF BEING ABLE TO 10:39AM 10:39AM 7 COMMIT TO APRIL, AND THAT HAS BEEN A TREMENDOUS HELP. BUT THERE ARE NOT ANY OTHER RESOURCES THAT THEY COULD 8 10:39AM OFFER THAT WOULD CHANGE THESE FUNDAMENTAL LIMITATIONS THAT WE 10:39AM 9 10:39AM 10 HAVE. 10:39AM 11 THE COURT: IF YOU OBTAINED THE INFORMATION AND GAVE 10:39AM 12 IT TO, AS THEY'RE DOING, I GUESS THE NATIVE DOCUMENTS TO JUSTICE NOW, AND THEY'RE DOING THE SEARCH WITH THEIR BODIES, 10:39AM 13 THEIR TEAM, IS THAT SOMETHING THAT CAN BE ENHANCED, THAT IS, 10:39AM 14 10:39AM 15 THE DELIVERY OF THE NATIVE DOCUMENTS, OR IS THAT TIED INTO THIS OTHER SOFTWARE ISSUE? 10:39AM 16 10:39AM 17 MS. AMIN: YEAH, IT'S THAT AND WE CONTINUE -- YOU 10:39AM 18 KNOW, EVERY DAY WE'RE LOOKING FOR WAYS THAT WE CAN MAKE THAT 10:39AM 19 DELIVERY OF THE NATIVE DOCUMENTS GO FASTER, BUT AS OF RIGHT NOW 10:40AM 20 WE'RE NOT AWARE OF ANYTHING ELSE THAT WE COULD -- WE'VE TAKEN 10:40AM 21 EVERY STEP THAT WE CAN IDENTIFY THAT WE CAN TAKE WITHIN THE 10:40AM 22 TIME PERIOD THAT WE HAVE. 10:40AM 23 BUT WE CONTINUE TO HAVE LEADERSHIP CONVERSATIONS ABOUT 10:40AM 24 THIS WITH OUR LEADERSHIP AND THE I.T. EXPERTS, AND CERTAINLY IF 10:40AM 25 WE IDENTIFY ADDITIONAL WAYS THAT WE CAN MAKE THIS MOVE FASTER,

1 IF ANY OF IT WOULD INVOLVE ANY RESOURCES FROM DOJ, WE WOULD NOT 10:40AM 2 HESITATE TO ASK. 10:40AM THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU. I'M CURIOUS, HOW WAS 3 10:40AM 10:40AM 4 THE APRIL 30TH DATE ARRIVED AT? HOW WAS THAT REACHED? MS. AMIN: I CAN DEFER TO MY COLLEAGUES WHO ARE 10:40AM 10:40AM 6 THERE IN THE COURTROOM IF THEY'RE ABLE TO WALK THROUGH STEP BY 10:40AM 7 STEP, BUT IT'S JUST A MATTER OF 88 CUSTODIANS AND GAMING OUT HOW MUCH TIME THE SYSTEM IS TAKING TO ELECTRONICALLY DOWNLOAD 10:40AM 8 COMBINED WITH HOW MUCH TIME WOULD IT TAKE TO VISIT TO MANUALLY 10:40AM 9 10:40AM 10 COLLECT. 10:40AM 11 THE COURT: OKAY. WELL, MAYBE I'LL TURN TO --10:41AM 12 MR. WEINFIELD, ARE YOU THE BEST TO ANSWER THAT QUESTION, SIR? 10:41AM 13 MR. WEINFIELD: YES. THE COURT: SURE, WHY DON'T YOU COME FORWARD. I'M 10:41AM 14 10:41AM 15 CURIOUS, IS THERE AN APP? IS THERE AN ALGORITHM OR SOMETHING? HOW IS THAT REACHED? 10:41AM 16 10:41AM 17 MR. WEINFIELD: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. THANK YOU, 10:41AM 18 YOUR HONOR. THAT WAS BASED ON MY PROJECTION OF THE DOCUMENTS 10:41AM 19 THAT WE HAD PRODUCED TO -- HAD TRANSMITTED TO DOJ TO DATE AT 10:41AM 20 THE TIME I CAME UP WITH THE PROJECTION, PLUS THE INFORMATION THAT I WAS GIVEN FROM OUR I.T. STAFF ABOUT HOW LONG IT WOULD 10:41AM 21 10:41AM 22 TAKE PER CUSTODIAN FOR EACH OF THE E-MAILS AND THE NETWORK 10:41AM 23 DRIVES AND THE ARCHIVED CUSTODIANS. 10:41AM 24 THE COURT: SO HAS YOUR ESTIMATE CHANGED IN LIGHT OF 10:41AM 25 WHAT WE LEARNED FROM MS. AMIN AND MR. LEACH ABOUT THE

10:41AM	1
10:41AM	2
10:41AM	3
10:41AM	4
10:41AM	5
10:41AM	6
10:41AM	7
10:42AM	8
10:42AM	9
10:42AM	10
10:42AM	11
10:42AM	12
10:42AM	13
10:42AM	14
10:42AM	15
10:42AM	16
10:42AM	17
10:42AM	18
10:42AM	19
10:42AM	20
10:42AM	21
10:42AM	22
10:42AM	23
10:42AM	24
10:42AM	25

PRODUCTION?

IT SOUNDS LIKE IT HAS BEEN ALL HANDS ON DECK AND THERE HAS BEEN SOME EFFICIENCIES THAT HAVE BEEN CAPTURED. HAS THAT CHANGED YOUR ESTIMATE?

MR. WEINFIELD: I HAVEN'T CREATED A FORMAL ESTIMATE,

YOUR HONOR, BUT I AM -- I WOULD BE DELIGHTED IF WE WERE ABLE TO

PRODUCE ALL OF THE DOCUMENTS PRIOR TO APRIL 30TH.

I WOULDN'T GO SO FAR AS TO SAY THAT I'M HOPEFUL OF THAT,
BUT I THINK THERE IS A POSSIBILITY OF THAT. I CERTAINLY WOULD
NOT WANT TO COMMIT TO THAT AT THIS TIME.

IF IT PLEASE THE COURT, WE ARE ENGAGED IN QUITE A COMPLEX PROCESS. WE'VE DESCRIBED IT AS BUILDING A BOAT WHILE YOU'RE IN THE WATER.

SO EVERY DAY WE'RE TRYING TO FIND NEW EFFICIENCIES AND SO IT WOULD BE IMPRUDENT TO COME UP WITH A SPECIFIC ESTIMATE NOW.

NOW, IF THE COURT WISHES ONE, I'D BE HAPPY TO GET BACK IN TOUCH WITH MY COLLEAGUES AND COME UP WITH ONE.

THE COURT: I WAS CURIOUS, THIS DATE WAS SELECTED AND I'VE HEARD THE REASONS PERHAPS NOW.

MR. WEINFIELD: DRILLING DOWN JUST A LITTLE BIT, IT
HAD TO DO WITH HOW MANY CUSTODIANS WE COULD COLLECT

CONCURRENTLY, HOW LONG IT MIGHT TAKE TO PROCESS THAT DATA, HOW
LONG IT WOULD TAKE TO, AS MS. AMIN COMMENTED EARLIER, REHYDRATE
THE CUSTODIANS. THAT'S A PARTICULARLY DIFFICULT PROCESS THAT

REQUIRES SOMEONE WITH EXPERTISE AND EXPERIENCE IN THAT AREA.

10:42AM	1	SO I PUT THOSE NUMBERS TOGETHER AND CAME UP WITH THAT
10:43AM	2	BASED ON A VERY GRANULAR ANALYSIS.
10:43AM	3	THE PLACES WHERE WE MIGHT GAIN SPEED MIGHT BE IN THE
10:43AM	4	E-MAIL COLLECTION, PERHAPS A BIT OF SPEED ON THE NETWORK
10:43AM	5	COLLECTION.
10:43AM	6	BUT BEING PRUDENT, APRIL 30TH IS A DEADLINE THAT WE CAN
10:43AM	7	CERTAINLY MEET.
10:43AM	8	THE COURT: GREAT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. THANK YOU
10:43AM	9	FOR BEING HERE.
10:43AM	10	MR. WEINFIELD: YOU'RE VERY WELCOME.
10:43AM	11	MR. LEACH, ANYTHING ELSE YOU WOULD LIKE TO ADD?
10:43AM	12	MR. LEACH: NO, YOUR HONOR. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
10:43AM	13	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU. WHO IS GOING TO
10:43AM	14	SPEAK FOR THE DEFENSE?
10:43AM	15	MR. WADE: LANCE WADE ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENSE.
10:43AM	16	HAPPY NEW YEAR. IT'S NICE TO BE BACK IN CALIFORNIA.
10:43AM	17	THE COURT: THANKS. HAPPY NEW YEAR.
10:43AM	18	MR. WADE: THE WE DON'T WANT TO WE HEAR
10:43AM	19	EVERYTHING THAT'S BEEN SAID HERE, AND WE DON'T WANT TO APPEAR
10:43AM	20	UNREASONABLE OR UNAPPRECIATIVE.
10:43AM	21	THE COURT: BUT.
10:43AM	22	MR. WADE: THERE'S A TREMENDOUS AMOUNT OF WORK. THE
10:43AM	23	BUT WILL COME IN A SECOND. THERE'S A TREMENDOUS AMOUNT OF WORK
10:44AM	24	BEING DONE, AND I DON'T WANT TO BE DISRESPECTFUL OF THAT GIVEN
10:44AM	25	THE HARD WORK THAT IS BEING PUT IN BY PEOPLE THE OPPOSING

COUNSEL AND PEOPLE WITH THE GOVERNMENT.

10:44AM

10:44AM

10:44AM

10:44AM

10:44AM

10:44AM 6

10:44AM 7

10:44AM 8

10:44AM 9

10:44AM 10

10:44AM 11

10:44AM 12

10:44AM 13

10:44AM 14

10:44AM 15

10:45AM 16

10:45AM 17

10:45AM 18

10:45AM 19

10:45AM 20

10:45AM 21

10:45AM 22

10:45AM 23

10:45AM 24

10:45AM 25

1

2

3

4

THE COURT: IT SEEMS LIKE IT'S A SIGNIFICANT EFFORT
THAT HAS BEEN CALLED UPON, AND WHAT WE'VE HEARD TODAY AND IN
THE DECLARATIONS SUGGESTS THAT THEY'VE RISEN TO THE CHALLENGE
AS BEST THEY CAN WITH THE TOOLS THAT THEY HAVE AVAILABLE.

MR. WADE: IT WOULD SEEM LIKE THERE IS SOME
BOTTLENECKS IN THE PROCESS BASED ON THE TECHNOLOGY THAT THEY
EMPLOY AT THE AGENCY THAT ARE LIMITING THE CAPACITY HERE AND
WITHOUT -- I DON'T HAVE THE TECHNICAL CAPACITY TO TELL THE
COURT THAT THERE IS SOME ALTERNATIVE TO FIX THAT BOTTLENECK.
IT'S POSSIBLE THAT SOME OUTSIDE VENDOR CAN BE BROUGHT IN, BUT
IT SOUNDS LIKE THEY'RE MAKING GREAT EFFORTS TO TRY TO DO THAT
AND TO DEVELOP WHATEVER RESOURCES THEY CAN BRING TO THIS
MATTER. WE'RE APPRECIATIVE OF THAT.

OUR POSITION ON THIS MOTION IS NOT GUIDED BY OUR LACK OF APPRECIATION OF THOSE EFFORTS.

IT'S JUST GUIDED BY A PRACTICAL REALITY OF THE CALENDAR,
WHICH IS THE VOLUME OF DOCUMENTS HERE, AND THERE'S A LOT OF
DISCUSSION THAT HAS BEEN -- THAT HAS OCCURRED WITH RESPECT TO
THE SEARCH TERMS AND THE NUMBER OF CUSTODIANS.

THE PROOF IS IN THE PUDDING HERE, YOUR HONOR. ONE HUNDRED AND FORTY THOUSAND DOCUMENTS HAVE COME TO THE DEFENSE THAT ARE RESPONSIVE TO THOSE SIX CATEGORIES OF DOCUMENTS.

I REVIEWED A STACK OF THEM ON THE PLANE RIDE OUT HERE, THE MORE RECENT MATERIALS. THESE ARE DOCUMENTS THAT ARE MATERIAL

UNITED STATES COURT REPORTERS

TO THE PREPARATION OF THE DEFENSE AND SIGNIFICANT DOCUMENTS. 1 10:45AM 2 SO WE NEED THE MATERIAL. WE NEED TIME TO DIGEST THE 10:45AM MATERIAL, AND WE CAN'T DO THAT AND BE READY TO MEET THE VARIOUS 3 10:45AM 10:45AM 4 DEADLINES THAT HAVE BEEN IMPOSED BY THE COURT OR THAT WE HAVE PREVIOUSLY AGREED TO WITH THE ASSUMPTION THAT WE WOULD HAVE 10:45AM 10:45AM 6 THIS MATERIAL EARLIER. THE COURT: WELL, DID YOU RECEIVE 136,092 DOCUMENTS 10:45AM BY DECEMBER 31ST? 10:46AM 8 10:46AM 9 MR. WADE: WE DID. I USED THE NUMBER OF ABOUT 10:46AM 10 140,000 BECAUSE I THINK THERE IS ANOTHER SMALL PRODUCTION THAT 10:46AM 11 WAS MADE BEFORE THEN. THE PRECISE NUMBER MIGHT BE --10:46AM 12 THE COURT: RIGHT. RIGHT. HAVE YOU COMPLETED YOUR 10:46AM 13 WORK? 10:46AM 14 MR. WADE: NO. 10:46AM 15 THE COURT: RIGHT. MR. WADE: AND TO THAT POINT, YOUR HONOR, WHICH IS 10:46AM 16 EXACTLY WHY WE TAKE THE POSITION THAT WE'RE TAKING BEFORE THE 10:46AM 17 10:46AM 18 COURT, I DID SOME MATH ON THIS LAST NIGHT AS I WAS GOING 10:46AM 19 THROUGH IT, AND USING, USING THE AVERAGE RETURN, AVERAGE REVIEW 10:46AM 20 RATES FOR DOCUMENTS OF THIS TYPE AND BASED ON THE REVIEW RATE 10:46AM 21 OF THE PREVIOUS DOCUMENTS THAT WE'VE RECEIVED, IT WOULD TAKE A 10:46AM 22 REVIEW TEAM TEN -- OF TEN PEOPLE, ABOUT THREE MONTHS JUST TO 10:46AM 23 GET THROUGH JUST THE FIRST TRANCHE OF DOCUMENTS WITH A 10:46AM 24 COMPREHENSIVE FIRST LEVEL REVIEW. 10:46AM 25 THAT'S TO SAY NOTHING OF THE FOLLOW-ON ANALYSIS THAT IS

DONE BY ASSOCIATES AND PARTNERS TO INTEGRATE THAT INTO OUR 1 10:46AM EXPERT WORK, TO INTEGRATE THAT INTO THE WORK THAT WE'RE DOING 2 10:46AM TO BE PREPARED TO EXAMINE WITNESSES, THE INVESTIGATIVE WORK 3 10:47AM 10:47AM 4 THAT WE WANT TO DO TO DETERMINE WHETHER WE CALL ADDITIONAL WITNESSES. 10:47AM SO WE'RE, WE'RE -- LIKE THE GOVERNMENT AND THE FDA, WE'RE 10:47AM PREPARED TO WORK VERY HARD ON THIS, BUT THERE'S JUST A 10:47AM PRACTICAL REALITY BASED UPON THE VOLUME HERE TO BE IN A 8 10:47AM 10:47AM 9 POSITION WHERE WE CAN PROPERLY DEFEND OUR CLIENT, WE CAN'T 10:47AM 10 AGREE TO THIS DEADLINE. 10:47AM 11 OF COURSE, WE WISH THESE RESOURCES HAD BEEN DEDICATED 10:47AM 12 SOONER. WE WISHED THAT THIS HAPPENED BACK IN -- WHEN WE FILED THE MOTION IN APRIL OR AT THE PRIOR HEARINGS. 10:47AM 13 10:47AM 14 THE COURT: WELL, MS. AMIN TELLS US THIS IS A CASE 10:47AM 15 OF FIRST IMPRESSION TO HER AGENCY, THE SIZE OF THE REQUEST. MR. WADE: I CERTAINLY CAN'T SPEAK TO THE FDA'S 10:47AM 16 10:47AM 17 EXPERIENCE ON THESE MATTERS. I CAN REPRESENT TO THE COURT, AS 10:47AM 18 WE HAVE MENTIONED BEFORE, WE HAVE DONE A LOT OF CRIMINAL 10:47AM 19 LITIGATION INVOLVING VARIOUS GOVERNMENT AGENCIES, GIVEN OUR 10:48AM 20 PRACTICE AND THE LOCATION OF OUR PRACTICE, AND RECEIVING A 10:48AM 21 VOLUME OF THIS SIZE FROM A GOVERNMENT AGENCY IN CONNECTION WITH 10:48AM 22 A CRIMINAL CASE IS NOT UNPRECEDENTED IN OUR EXPERIENCE. 10:48AM 23 NOM --10:48AM 24 THE COURT: WITH THE FDA IN YOUR EXPERIENCE? 10:48AM 25 MR. WADE: NOT WITH -- I HAVE NOT HAD THAT WITH THE

1 10:48AM 2 10:48AM 3 10:48AM 10:48AM 4 10:48AM 10:48AM 6 10:48AM 7 8 10:48AM 10:48AM 9 10:48AM 10 10:48AM 11 10:48AM 12 10:48AM 13 10:49AM 14 10:49AM 15 10:49AM 16 10:49AM 17 10:49AM 18 10:49AM 19 10:49AM 20 10:49AM 21 10:49AM 22 10:49AM 23 10:49AM 24 10:49AM 25

FDA BUT OTHER AGENCIES WE HAVE SEEN SIMILAR VOLUME.

NOW, TO BE CLEAR, A LOT OF TIMES THOSE MATERIALS ARE GATHERED AS PART OF THE INVESTIGATION, AND A BROADER SET OF RELEVANT MATERIALS IS GATHERED DURING THE INVESTIGATION STAGE AND TURNED OVER.

IT WAS CLEAR TO US AT THE OUTSET THAT THE UNIVERSE OF

MATERIAL THAT WAS GATHERED HERE WAS VERY NARROW AND TO A DEGREE

CURATED TO THE GOVERNMENT'S CASE, THE CASE THAT IT WANTED TO

PRESENT, WHICH IS FINE. THE GOVERNMENT HAS ITS JOB. WE HAVE

OURS.

OUR JOB IS TO DEFEND OUR CLIENT, AND, THEREFORE, ADDITIONAL MATERIALS ARE RELEVANT TO THOSE EFFORTS.

I MIGHT BE IN A DIFFERENT POSITION IF THESE ROLLING

PRODUCTIONS THAT HAD OCCURRED TO DATE WERE NOT YIELDING RESULTS

THAT WE WOULD REPRESENT TO THE COURT TO BE HIGHLY SIGNIFICANT

DOCUMENTS, EXHIBIT LIST TYPE DOCUMENTS. AND WE HAVE EVERY

REASON TO ANTICIPATE THAT THAT WILL CONTINUE.

NOW, THAT BEING SAID, WE'VE, WE'VE -- WE'RE LEARNING NEW INFORMATION, SOME OF THE INFORMATION THAT HAS BEEN SHARED BY FDA COUNSEL AND HAS BEEN SHARED BY THE GOVERNMENT TODAY WE'RE ACTUALLY LEARNING FOR THE FIRST TIME IN THE COURTROOM WITH RESPECT TO SOME OF THE ISSUES, AND THE TYPE OF PROPOSAL THAT THEY'RE MAKING WITH RESPECT TO SOME OF THOSE CUSTODIANS WHO ARE LOWER PRIORITY CUSTODIANS, MANY OF WHOM ARE LAWYERS, AND I'M CONFIDENT THAT IN AN EFFORT TO TRY TO EXPEDITE THIS WE PROBABLY

COULD COME UP WITH A PROCESS THAT WOULD ALLOW FOR A MANUAL 10:49AM 1 2 SEARCH WITH THE TYPES OF PROCEDURES THAT MS. AMIN WAS REFERRING 10:49AM TO WITH SOME FDA ATTORNEY SUPERVISION, DOJ ATTORNEY 3 10:49AM 10:50AM 4 SUPERVISION, MAYBE A CERTIFICATION FROM THE PERSON THAT THEY DID WHAT THEY SAID THEY COULD DO. I THINK WE CAN COME UP WITH 10:50AM 10:50AM 6 A PROTOCOL TO HELP EXPEDITE IT. 10:50AM 7 BUT MY UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT THE GOVERNMENT'S POSITION IS, EVEN WITH THAT EFFICIENCY, WE'RE STILL LOOKING AT THE END OF 10:50AM 8 APRIL, WHICH IS A DEADLINE THAT I THINK, AS THE COURT KNOWS, 10:50AM 9 10:50AM 10 INCLUDES -- THE VERY DATE IS THE DATE ON WHICH OUR EXPERT 10:50AM 11 DISCLOSURES ARE DUE, IT'S JUST A DAY BEFORE OUR RULE 16 10:50AM 12 DISCOVERY IS DUE. IT'S JUST --THE COURT: WELL, WE CAN MAKE SOME ADJUSTMENT, OF 10:50AM 13 COURSE, AS NEEDED ON THINGS. COURTS DO THAT. 10:50AM 14 10:50AM 15 BUT I APPRECIATE YOUR ATTENTION TO RESPECTING THE 10:50AM 16 DEADLINES. 10:50AM 17 MR. WADE: AND WE HAVE MADE EVERY EFFORT TO TRY TO 10:50AM 18 PUSH TOWARDS THAT. 10:50AM 19 10:50AM 20

10:51AM 21

10:51AM 22

10:51AM 23

10:51AM 24

10:51AM 25

YOUR HONOR MAY RECALL THAT AS FAR BACK AS JUNE AND JULY WHEN WE WERE IN OUR FIRST AND SECOND HEARING ON THESE MATTERS AND WERE AT THE SAME TIME TALKING ABOUT THE TRIAL, WE ARTICULATED, BECAUSE THE COURT ADVANCED THE TRIAL DATE SLIGHTLY OVER WHAT THE PARTIES HAD OFFERED TO THE COURT, WE TRIED TO MAKE CLEAR TO THE COURT THAT WE SAW LITTLE ROOM FOR ERROR IN CONNECTION WITH SOME OF THESE DISCOVERY ISSUES GIVEN HOW TIGHT

THIS IS.

1

2

3

10:51AM

10:51AM

10:51AM

THIS IS A -- THIS CASE AND IN THE PROCESS THE FDA IS GOING THROUGH IS IN SOME WAYS A MICROCOSM OF THE WHOLE CASE. IT'S A HIGHLY COMPLEX CASE, AND IT'S GOING TO BE A LENGTHY TRIAL AND IT INVOLVES A LOT OF WITNESSES. THERE IS ONLY SO MUCH WE CAN DO AND BE IN A POSITION TO FULLY AND FAIRLY REPRESENT OUR CLIENT BEFORE THE COURT AT TRIAL AND EFFICIENTLY BEFORE THE COURT. WE DON'T WANT TO -- WE WANT TO DO IT IN A WAY THAT IS RESPECTFUL OF THE COURT OBVIOUSLY IN ITS TIME AS WELL.

SO THAT IS THE GENISIS OF OUR OPPOSITION.

THE COURT: WELL, I APPRECIATE IT. I'VE OFTEN

COMMENTED IT'S -- I'VE DONE THIS, I'VE USED THE WORD

"EFFICIENT" WITH A CRIMINAL CASE, AND IT'S PROBABLY NOT

APPROPRIATE TO DO THAT, RESPECTING ALL PARTIES' INTERESTS IN

THE CASE. EFFICIENCY IS IMPORTANT, BUT RESPECTING BOTH

PARTIES' RIGHTS TO A FAIR TRIAL IS PARAMOUNT, SO I APPRECIATE

THAT.

MR. WADE: YOUR HONOR, TO BE CLEAR, I THINK THAT ALL PARTIES HERE, ESPECIALLY AFTER THE NOVEMBER ORDER, PARTICULARLY AFTER THE NOVEMBER ORDER, HAVE BEEN WORKING DILIGENTLY TO TRY TO BE, IF NOT EFFICIENT, EXPEDITIOUS TO HELP GET -- KEEP THIS CASE ON TRACK, AND I'M NOT BELITTLING THOSE EFFORTS, I'M JUST REFLECTING THE REALITY.

WE NEED TO BE -- AS YOUR HONOR KNOWS, WE NEED TO BE THOROUGH AND ZEALOUS, I BELIEVE, IN OUR REPRESENTATION OF OUR

10:52AM 25

1 10:52AM 2 10:52AM 3 10:52AM 10:52AM 4 10:53AM 10:53AM 6 10:53AM 7 10:53AM 8 10:53AM 9 10:53AM 10 10:53AM 11 10:53AM 12 10:53AM 13 10:53AM 14 10:53AM 15 10:53AM 16 10:53AM 17 10:53AM 18 10:53AM 19 10:53AM 20 10:53AM 21 10:53AM 22 10:54AM 23 10:54AM 24

10:54AM 25

CLIENT, AND TO DO THAT WE WOULD NOT BE ABLE TO DO THAT ON THE SCHEDULE.

THE COURT: OKAY. ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU.

MR. BROWN, MR. CAZARES.

MR. CAZARES: THANK YOU. THANK YOU.

STEPHEN CAZARES FOR MR. BALWANI. I WON'T REITERATE WHAT COUNSEL HAS ALREADY MENTIONED WITH RESPECT TO THE NEED FOR THESE IMPORTANT MATERIALS. I THINK THAT HAS ALREADY BEEN MADE CLEAR.

BUT THE CIRCUMSTANCE THAT WE'RE NOW IN FROM THE DEFENSE

PERSPECTIVE, OUT OF KIND OF NO FAULT OF OUR OWN, YOU KNOW, THE

GOVERNMENT CHOSE TO BRING THIS CASE 19 MONTHS AGO NOW.

THE COURT: WELL, I WOULD -- I'M MORE INTERESTED IN NOT CASTING BLAME BUT FINDING SOLUTIONS.

MR. CAZARES: AND I'M NOT CASTING BLAME. MY POINT,
THOUGH, IS THAT WE'RE NOW AT THIS STAGE WITH TRIAL APPROACHING
AND VOLUMINOUS MATERIALS ARE NOW BEING PRODUCED TO THE DEFENSE,
WHICH WE REALLY APPRECIATE. AND AS COUNSEL MENTIONED, YOU
KNOW, WE'RE PUT IN THIS TIME PRESSURE WHERE WE NEED TO REVIEW
THESE MATERIALS TO PREPARE FOR TRIAL, BUT THERE'S THE
COUNTERVAILING INTEREST, WE WANT TO GET THIS CASE DONE. WE
WOULD LIKE TO GO TO TRIAL. MR. BALWANI WOULD LIKE TO CLEAR HIS
NAME. THAT'S THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT ISSUE.

THE PROBLEM NOW IS THAT WE'RE BEING PUT IN THE SITUATION

THROUGH -- OUT OF NO INTENT I DON'T BELIEVE BY THE GOVERNMENT,

WE'RE BEING PUT IN THE POSITION OF KIND OF WEIGHING OUR NEED TO 1 10:54AM PREPARE FOR TRIAL VERSUS OUR DESIRE TO GET TO TRIAL AND TO TRY 2 10:54AM TO CLEAR MR. BALWANI'S NAME, AND THAT'S WHAT IS HAPPENING HERE 3 10:54AM 10:54AM 4 IN THE COURT, AND THEN THERE ARE OTHER COUNTERVAILING ISSUES THAT WE ON THE DEFENSE HAVE TO CONSIDER AS WELL. THAT'S, 10:54AM 10:54AM 6 UNFORTUNATELY, YOU KNOW, THE MEDIA COVERAGE OF THE CASE, PUBLIC PERCEPTIONS. THERE'S APPARENTLY A MOVIE THAT IS GOING TO BE 10:54AM 7 COMING OUT SOME TIME ABOUT THESE EVENTS, WHICH I'M SURE AREN'T 10:54AM 8 GOING TO CAST MY CLIENT IN A POSITIVE LIGHT AND MAY HAVE SOME 10:54AM 9 10:54AM 10 IMPACT ON THE JURY POOL AS WELL. 10:54AM 11 AND THESE ARE -- I KNOW THE COURT CAN'T CONTROL THAT, BUT 10:54AM 12 THESE ARE ALL THINGS THAT WE HAVE TO CONSIDER WHEN TRYING TO 10:54AM 13 MAKE OUR DECISIONS GOING FORWARD WITH RESPECT TO, YOU KNOW, REVIEWING THIS DISCOVERY AND ULTIMATELY THE DIFFICULT CALENDAR 10:54AM 14 10:54AM 15 WE'RE IN RIGHT NOW. THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU. 10:55AM 16 10:55AM 17 MR. CAZARES: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 10:55AM 18 THE COURT: THANK YOU FOR THOSE OBSERVATIONS. 10:55AM 19 MR. LEACH, ANYTHING FURTHER? MR. LEACH: VERY BRIEFLY, YOUR HONOR. THIS IS -- I 10:55AM 20 10:55AM 21 DON'T WANT TO LOSE SIGHT OF THE FACT THAT, FIRST OF ALL, 10:55AM 22 MR. BALWANI IS NOT HERE BECAUSE HE'S DEPOSING TEN FDA WITNESSES 10:55AM 23 BACK IN WASHINGTON, D.C. I THINK MR. BALWANI HAS A VERY GOOD 10:55AM 24 UNDERSTANDING OF THE FDA ISSUES AND WAS PREPARED ENOUGH TO GO 10:55AM 25 FORWARD WITH THOSE DEPOSITIONS IN THE CIVIL CASE, SO I DON'T

1 10:55AM 10:55AM 2 3 10:55AM 10:55AM 4 10:55AM 10:55AM 6 10:55AM 7 10:56AM 8 10:56AM 9 10:56AM 10 10:56AM 11 10:56AM 12 10:56AM 13 10:56AM 14 10:56AM 15 10:56AM 16 10:56AM 17 10:56AM 18 10:56AM 19 10:56AM 20 10:56AM 21 10:56AM 22 10:56AM 23 10:56AM 24

10:56AM 25

WANT TO LOSE SIGHT OF THE FACT THAT THIS IS ONE ISSUE IN THE INDICTMENT.

THERE ARE AT LEAST TEN OTHER CATEGORIES OF

MISREPRESENTATIONS HERE. THIS IS NOT A CASE ABOUT THE FDA

INVOLVING THE FDA CHARGES. I DON'T WANT TO MINIMIZE THE

IMPORTANCE OF THESE DOCUMENTS, AND WE ARE RESPONDING TO THE SIX

CATEGORIES IN THE MOTION TO COMPEL. I'M NOT TRYING TO MINIMIZE

THEM. I'M JUST TRYING TO PUT THEM IN PERSPECTIVE OF A MUCH

LARGER CASE. THIS IS NOT A CASE ABOUT THE FDA. THIS IS A CASE

ABOUT MISREPRESENTATIONS TO INVESTORS AND REPRESENTATIONS --

THE COURT: IT'S A WIRE FRAUD CASE.

MR. LEACH: IT'S A WIRE FRAUD CASE AS I UNDERSTAND IT, TOO, YOUR HONOR.

SO I ALSO -- I TAKE THE DEFENSE AT THEIR WORD THAT THEY

NEED THE TIME TO PREPARE. THEY'RE BEST ABLE TO SPEAK TO

THOSE -- BETTER ABLE TO SPEAK TO THOSE THAN I AM.

I WOULD ENCOURAGE THE MORE SPECIFICS THAT WE CAN HAVE ON THAT. YOU KNOW, I KEEP HEARING REFERENCES TO HIGHLY RELEVANT EVIDENCE THAT THEY FOUND IN THE 130-PLUS THOUSAND DOCUMENTS, AND I'M NOT SURE WHAT THEY'RE REFERRING TO. I WOULD ENCOURAGE THE COURT TO LOOK AT THE SPECIFICITY OF THAT.

AND WE WANT TO KEEP THIS TRIAL DATE. WE'RE WORKING TO KEEP THIS TRIAL DATE.

THE DEFENSE, YOU KNOW, WE TAKE THEM AT THEIR WORD FOR WHAT THEY NEED, AND WE'RE WORKING AS QUICKLY AS WE CAN TO GET IT TO

10:57AM	1	THEM.
10:57AM	2	THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
10:57AM	3	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. I THINK OUR NEXT COURT DATE
10:57AM	4	IS FEBRUARY 10TH.
10:57AM	5	MR. LEACH: YES, YOUR HONOR.
10:57AM	6	THE COURT: THAT IS FOR MOTIONS.
10:57AM	7	LET ME INVITE COUNSEL TO SIDE-BAR, AND IF YOU COULD BRING
10:57AM	8	YOUR CALENDARS, PLEASE. I JUST WANT TO GO OVER SOME SCHEDULING
10:57AM	9	QUESTIONS.
10:57AM	10	(SIDE-BAR CONFERENCE ON A SEALED RECORD PAGES 41-44)
	11	
	12	
	13	
	14	
	15	
	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	

(SEALED SIDE-BAR CONFERENCE.)

THE COURT: ARE WE ALL GOING TO FIT? WE'RE AT SIDE-BAR WITH COUNSEL. I JUST WANT TO TALK ABOUT SOME SCHEDULING MATTERS WITH YOUR CALENDARS, AND YOU'RE ALL GOING TO LOOK AT YOUR CALENDARS NOW SO EVERYBODY OUT IN THE AUDIENCE CAN SEE YOU SEARCHING YOUR CALENDARS.

WHAT I HAVE SOME QUESTIONS ABOUT, THERE ARE SO MANY SEALED DOCUMENTS IN THIS CASE, AND WE'RE HAVING SOME DIFFICULTY

TRACKING THOSE. I SAID "DIFFICULTY." WE'VE PUT TOGETHER A

LIST THAT I WANT TO SHARE WITH ALL OF YOU TO MAKE SURE THAT YOU HAVE WHAT WE HAVE, AND I'VE ASKED OUR STAFF TO DO THAT, AND THEY'VE PUT THAT TOGETHER.

I WANT TO GIVE YOU THAT.

MS. KRATZMANN WILL GIVE YOU THAT AT THE CLOSE WHEN WE
LEAVE TODAY. I'M NOT GOING TO HAND YOU ANYTHING FROM THE BENCH
HERE.

WHAT I WANT TO DO IS TO TALK ABOUT A SCHEDULING FOR THIS

12.2(B). ONE OF THE ISSUES THAT COMES UP IS BECAUSE EVERYTHING

IS UNDER SEAL, I CAN'T HAVE THAT INFORMATION ON THE RECORD

HERE.

WHAT I WANT TO PROPOSE TO YOU IS THAT I'M GOING TO LEAVE

THE FEBRUARY 10TH DATE, I'M NOT GOING TO DISTURB THAT. I'M

GOING TO ANNOUNCE THAT WHEN I GO BACK.

BUT I'M GOING TO INVITE YOU TO COME BACK EITHER AT 1:00
O'CLOCK OR 2:30 TODAY, AND WE'LL HAVE A SEALED DISCUSSION ABOUT

10:58AM 25

1

2

3

10:57AM

10:57AM

10:57AM

10:58AM	1	SCHEDULING AND SOME OTHER ISSUES IF THAT DOES THAT WORK FOR
10:58AM	2	YOU, MR. SCHENK?
10:58AM	3	MR. SCHENK: YOUR HONOR, IT WORKS FINE FOR OUR
10:58AM	4	SCHEDULE. I'M CONCERNED THAT ONE OF THE ISSUES IS SEVERANCE,
10:58AM	5	AND MR. BALWANI IS NOT PRESENT.
10:58AM	6	THE COURT: RIGHT. AND THAT PRESENTS A PROBLEM TO
10:59AM	7	ME, AND I WAS TOLD THAT THERE WAS AN E-MAIL THAT SUGGESTED HE
10:59AM	8	WOULDN'T BE PRESENT, AND I DO WANT TO TALK ABOUT THAT. THAT'S
10:59AM	9	ONE OF THE THINGS THAT I DO WANT TO TALK ABOUT.
10:59AM	10	MR. CAZARES: STEPHEN CAZARES FOR MR. BALWANI. TO
10:59AM	11	THE EXTENT THAT THE DISCUSSION IS ABOUT THE CALENDARING ISSUE
10:59AM	12	OR THE DATES, I THINK WE CAN ADDRESS THAT. TO THE EXTENT THAT
10:59AM	13	SOME FINAL DECISIONS NEED TO BE MADE, WE NEED TO SPEAK WITH
10:59AM	14	MR. BALWANI.
10:59AM	15	THE COURT: WELL, PART OF MY CONVERSATION IS GOING
10:59AM	16	TO BE CANDIDLY, I HAVE SOME QUESTIONS ABOUT THE STATUS OF
10:59AM	17	THAT MOTION, YOUR SEVERANCE, YOUR SEVERANCE, AND ALSO ABOUT
10:59AM	18	MS. HOLMES AND THAT THE NOTICE OF 12.2(B), WHAT DOES THAT
10:59AM	19	REALLY MEAN?
10:59AM	20	SO THOSE ARE THINGS THAT WE WILL TALK ABOUT THIS
10:59AM	21	AFTERNOON.
10:59AM	22	MR. CAZARES: MAY I SUGGEST, I DON'T THINK THERE ARE
10:59AM	23	ANY DEPOSITIONS TODAY. CAN MR. BALWANI CALL IN TO THE HEARING?
10:59AM	24	THE COURT: MR. CAZARES WILL CHECK IN AND LET US
11:00AM	25	KNOW.

MR. CAZARES: HE MAY BE ABLE TO. 1 11:00AM THE COURT: LET ME -- I HAVE A 1:30 CRIMINAL 2 11:00AM CALENDAR. SO IF WE MEET AT 1:00 O'CLOCK, I THINK WE'RE GOING 3 11:00AM 11:00AM 4 TO BE COMPRESSED INTO THAT. MY SUGGESTION IS THAT THE 2:30 WOULD PROBABLY GIVE US THE BALANCE OF THE AFTERNOON. IF YOU 11:00AM 11:00AM 6 CAN DELAY YOUR FLIGHTS FOR THAT LONG. 11:00AM 7 THEN WHAT WE'LL DO IS WE'LL COME BACK -- AS I SAID, I'M GOING TO SEAL THE TRANSCRIPT OF THIS CONVERSATION AS WELL, AND 11:00AM 8 THEN WE CAN FINISH THE CONVERSATION ABOUT WHAT TO DO ABOUT 11:00AM 9 11:00AM 10 THESE OTHER ISSUES BECAUSE I DO HAVE SOME QUESTIONS ABOUT IT. 11:00AM 11 AND WE NEED TO ALSO DECIDE ABOUT WHEN DO WE, WHEN DO WE 11:00AM 12 STOP SEALING DOCUMENTS, AND WHAT DO WE ACTUALLY HAVE PUBLIC 11:00AM 13 CONVERSATIONS ABOUT THINGS? AND I'VE SEALED THINGS NOW BECAUSE OF OBVIOUSLY -- I'VE 11:00AM 14 11:00AM 15 LOOKED AT YOUR DECLARATIONS, AND I CAPTURE THAT. IT'S NECESSARY TO DO IT. 11:00AM 16 11:00AM 17 AT SOME POINT WE'RE GOING TO HAVE TO GO ON THE RECORD 11:01AM 18 ABOUT THIS. SO THOSE ARE THINGS THAT WE'LL TALK ABOUT THIS 11:01AM 19 AFTERNOON. 11:01AM 20 SHOULD WE SAY 2:30 THEN? 11:01AM 21 MR. CAZARES: THAT'S FINE, YOUR HONOR. 11:01AM 22 MR. SCHENK: YES. 11:01AM 23 THE COURT: SO WHAT I'M GOING TO DO THEN, IS I'M NOT 11:01AM 24 GOING TO DISTURB OUR FEBRUARY 10TH DATE. THAT'S GOING TO BE 11:01AM 25 THE NEXT COURT DATE THAT I ANNOUNCE, AND THEN I'LL SEE YOU BACK

1	HERE AT 2:30.
2	MR. CAZARES: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
3	MR. WADE: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
4	MR. LEACH: THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
5	(END OF SEALED DISCUSSION AT SIDE-BAR.)
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
23	
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16

11:01AM	1	(IN OPEN COURT.)
11:01AM	2	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU, COUNSEL. THEN
11:01AM	3	THE COURT IS NOT GOING TO DISTURB THE FEBRUARY 10TH DATE. I
11:01AM	4	THINK THAT'S BEEN SET, AND THAT WILL REMAIN AS SET FOR FURTHER
11:01AM	5	HEARING.
11:01AM	6	I'M NOT GOING TO DECIDE THE GOVERNMENT'S MOTION NOW. I'M
11:01AM	7	GOING TO TAKE THAT MATTER UNDER SUBMISSION. I MAY HAVE MORE
11:01AM	8	QUESTIONS, AND I MAY ASK THE PARTIES FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
11:01AM	9	ABOUT THIS.
11:01AM	10	MS. AMIN, MR. GORGI, I APPRECIATE YOUR APPEARANCE
11:01AM	11	TELEPHONICALLY.
11:02AM	12	IS THERE ANYTHING ELSE YOU WOULD LIKE TO ADD BEFORE WE END
11:02AM	13	THIS MORNING'S SESSION?
11:02AM	14	MS. AMIN: NOTHING FROM ME. THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
11:02AM	15	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. MR. GORGI, ANYTHING?
11:02AM	16	MR. GORGI: NO, YOUR HONOR. THANK YOU.
11:02AM	17	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU. LET ME TURN TO
11:02AM	18	THE FDA LAWYERS WHO ARE HERE. ANYTHING ELSE YOU WOULD LIKE ME
11:02AM	19	TO KNOW OR ANYTHING YOU WOULD LIKE TO ADD?
11:02AM	20	MR. WEINFIELD: NOTHING FURTHER, YOUR HONOR.
11:02AM	21	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. THANK
11:02AM	22	YOU FOR BEING HERE. I APPRECIATE YOU COMING OUT. IT'S NICE TO
11:02AM	23	PUT A FACE WITH A VOICE, SO THANK YOU. IT'S NICE TO SEE YOU.
11:02AM	24	ALL RIGHT. FEBRUARY 10TH, FEBRUARY 10TH.
11:02AM	25	THE CLERK: 10:00 A.M.

11:02AM	1	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 10:00 A.M. THANK YOU.
11:02AM	2	MR. LEACH: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
11:02AM	3	MR. DOWNEY: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
11:02AM	4	THE CLERK: COURT IS IN RECESS.
11:05AM	5	(COURT ADJOURNED 11:05 A.M.)
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
	10	
	11	
	12	
	13	
	14	
	15	
	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	

1	
2	
3	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
4	
5	
6	
7	I, THE UNDERSIGNED OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER OF THE UNITED
8	STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA,
9	280 SOUTH FIRST STREET, SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA, DO HEREBY
10	CERTIFY:
11	THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT, CERTIFICATE INCLUSIVE, IS
12	A CORRECT TRANSCRIPT FROM THE RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS IN THE
13	ABOVE-ENTITLED MATTER.
14	Orene Rodriguez
15	Call Licenians
16	IRENE RODRIGUEZ, CSR, RMR, CRR CERTIFICATE NUMBER 8074
17	CHAITITE NOIDHA GOTT
18	DATED: JANUARY 21, 2020
19	DITIED. OTHVOIRT 21, 2020
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	